

1 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 Case No. 18-71748

4 - - - - - x

5 In the Matter of:

6 ORION HEALTHCORP, INC.,

7 Debtor.

8 - - - - - x

9 Adv. Case No. 20-08042-ast

10 - - - - - x

11 HOWARD M. EHRENBURG IN HIS CAPACITY AS LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE

12 OF ORION HEALTHCORP, INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 HOWARD M. SCHOOR,

16 Defendants.

17 - - - - - x

18 Adv. Case No. 20-08038-ast

19 - - - - - x

20 EHRENBURG,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 EVICORE,

24 Defendant.

25 - - - - - x

1 Adv. Case No. 20-08049-ast

2 - - - - - x

3 EHRENBURG,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 WALIA, et al.,

7 Defendant.

8 - - - - - x

9 Adv. Case No. 20-08051-ast

10 - - - - - x

11 EHRENBURG,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 SARTISON, et al.,

15 Defendant.

16 - - - - - x

17 Adv. Case No. 20-08052-ast

18 - - - - - x

19 EHRENBURG,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 ABRUZZI INVESTMENTS, et al.,

23 Defendant.

24 - - - - - x

25

Page 3

1 United States Bankruptcy Court
2 290 Federal Plaza
3 Central Islip, New York 11722
4

5 January 21, 2021

6 10:01 AM
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 B E F O R E :
22 HON ALAN S. TRUST
23 U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24

25 ECRO: UNKNOWN

1 HEARING re 42 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed by Plaintiff
2 Howard M. Ehrenberg
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

1 A P P E A R A N C E S :

2

3 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

4 Attorneys for the Trustee, Howard M. Ehrenberg

5 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor

6 New York, NY 10017

7

8 BY: JEFFREY NOLAN (TELEPHONICALLY)

9 ILAN SCHARF (TELEPHONICALLY)

10

11 PARLATORE LAW GROUP LLP

12 Attorneys for 2 River Terrace

13 One World Trade Center, Suite 8500

14 New York, New York 10007

15

16 BY: MARYANN HADDEN (TELEPHONICALLY)

17

18 GIORDANO HALLERAN & CIESLA

19 Attorneys for Howard Schoor

20 125 Half Mile Road, PO Box 190

21 Middletown, NJ 07748

22

23 BY: DONALD CAMPBELL (TELEPHONICALLY)

24

25

1 ROSENBERG & PITTINSKY, LLP

2 Attorneys for Board of Managers of Riverhouse Condo

3 232 Madison Avenue, Suite 906

4 New York, New York 10016

5
6 BY: LAWRENCE PITTINSKY (TELEPHONICALLY)

7
8 ROSEN & ASSOCIATES P.C.

9 Attorneys for Arvind Walia

10 747 Third Avenue

11 New York, NY 10017-2803

12
13 BY: SANFORD ROSEN (TELEPHONICALLY)

14 PARIS GYPARAKIS (TELEPHONICALLY)

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 CLERK: Good morning. I am Yvette Mills,
3 Courtroom Deputy for Chief Judge Alan S. Trust presiding.
4 These hearings are being recorded. Please speak clearly.

5 I just want everyone starting with the Debtors'
6 attorney to just give your appearance and who you represent.

7 MR. NOLAN: Good morning. Jeff Nolan from
8 Pachulski Stang & Ziehl, along with Ilan Scharf,
9 representing the Trustee, Howard Ehrenberg.

10 CLERK: Donald Campbell?

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. Donald Campbell on behalf of
12 Howard Schoor, S-C-H-O-O-R.

13 CLERK: Could I have Joseph Orbach?

14 MR. ORBACH: Joseph Orbach. I'm just on a listen
15 only. I represent Howard Ehrenberg, but not in any of the
16 adversaries proceeding today.

17 CLERK: No problem. Lauren Pittinsky. Maryann
18 Hadden.

19 MS. HADDEN: Maryann Hadden from Parlatore Law
20 Group, LLP, on behalf of 2 River Terrace.

21 MR. PITTINSKY: Lawrence Pittinsky, Rosenberg &
22 Pittinsky, on behalf of the Board of Managers of River House
23 Condominium.

24 CLERK: Sanford Rosen.

25 MR. ROSEN: Good morning. Sanford Rosen, Rosen &

1 Associates PC, on behalf of Arvind Walia.

2 CLERK: And can I have the person whose phone
3 number is 917-809-0346?

4 MR. GYPARAKIS: Good morning. Paris Gyparakis,
5 Rosen & Associates, counsel to Arvind Walia.

6 CLERK: What was your name?

7 MR. GYPARAKIS: Paris Gyparakis, G-Y-P-A-R-A-K-I-
8 S.

9 CLERK: What was the first name?

10 MR. GYPARAKIS: Paris, like the city, P-A-R-I-S.

11 CLERK: And the last name?

12 MR. GYPARAKIS: G-Y-P-A-R-A-K-I-S.

13 CLERK: Okay, thank you. I believe we have all
14 the parties, Judge. Case No -- well, it's adversary
15 starting with 20-8042, Ehrenberg v. Howard Schoor.

16 MR. CAMPBELL: Schoor.

17 THE COURT: Take appearances, please.

18 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Good morning, Your Honor. Jeff
19 Nolan appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff, Howard Ehrenberg
20 in his capacity as a liquidating trustee.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Don
22 Campbell from the Law Firm of Giordano Halleran & Ciesla on
23 behalf of Defendant, Howard Schoor.

24 THE COURT: All right. As Ms. Mills did, I'm just
25 going to ask if everyone else will mute their line. If

1 you're not involved in this hearing, please mute your line.

2 All right, Mr. Nolan.

3 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. As the Court may
4 recall, this is an adversary. It's about \$160,000 at issue,
5 it's a fraudulent conveyance case under the Bankruptcy Code,
6 as well as under the New York Debt and Creditor Law.

7 We have a case management and discovery plan in
8 place; fact discovery was to be closed as of yesterday, and
9 expert designations are at the end of the month, and we have
10 gone forward with the depositions of the plaintiff.

11 As you may recall, the plaintiff also requested
12 that the Court allow the plaintiff to file a summary
13 judgment motion. We submitted a letter to the Court
14 approximately a month ago, and the Court directed that
15 counsel meet and confer and submit a joint statement of
16 uncontroverted facts, which the parties have done and have
17 submitted a joint statement of uncontroverted facts and it
18 should be before the Court.

19 I'll allow -- I know -- I got an email this
20 morning saying that the defendant wanted to address some
21 additional time. I'll leave that to my colleague and
22 opposing counsel for the defendant.

23 THE COURT: Let me ask, Mr. Nolan, before you toss
24 the baton so to speak. The letter that was submitted and
25 requested a pre-motion conference references New York DCL

1 276. Is it the plaintiffs' contemplation that if you move
2 forward with summary judgment, it would solely be on an
3 actual intent of fraudulent transfer claim?

4 MR. NOLAN: No, Your Honor. We would also be
5 under 273 of the New York Debtor and Creditor Law, so it
6 would be a fraudulent, intentionally fraudulent transfer as
7 well as a constructively fraudulent transfer, as well as the
8 Bankruptcy Code within the 548.

9 THE COURT: All right. And so, I know you said
10 your designations under the scheduling agreement for experts
11 are later this week or later this -- well, later this week,
12 next week. Is it the intention of the plaintiff to submit
13 an expert opinion on solvency or insolvency on the relevant
14 dates of the transfers as part of the summary judgment
15 motion?

16 MR. NOLAN: Well, I was hoping that the Court
17 could -- okay, the immediate answer is, Your Honor, we would
18 be filing a motion for summary judgment. I think at this
19 point, since we're so close to the expert designation date,
20 that it would make sense to allow the expert report to be
21 exchanged and to allow just one, you know, bite at the apple
22 on summary judgment, which would incorporate all the
23 theories of liability under the Complaint.

24 THE COURT: All right. All right, thank you. Mr.
25 Campbell.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, Your Honor, good morning.
2 Donald Campbell.

3 Mr. Nolan is correct. We have issued a subpoena
4 to Merrill Lynch Bank of America. We received a phone call
5 yesterday from Bank of America requesting additional time to
6 respond to provide documents. We just sent a letter to Mr.
7 Nolan this morning asking if he would consent to a two-week
8 extension of discovery solely to provide Bank of America
9 additional time to provide those documents.

10 Those documents are a request for the transfers of
11 the funds that were loaned by Mr. Schoor and directed to a
12 Merrill Lynch account, and it's our hope that we're looking
13 for information with regards to the connection, if any,
14 between the debtor entities and this Merrill Lynch account,
15 so we'd ask for that short request.

16 And Mr. Nolan hasn't had an opportunity to consent
17 or not, but we'd ask for that short extension, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: All right. In terms of the
19 designations of experts which are coming up, is it the
20 defendants' intention to retain an expert on solvency?

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, Your Honor. We have not seen
22 the plaintiffs' expert report yet, but obviously, we'd look
23 to evaluate that and retain an expert to review and analyze
24 the trustee's report on insolvency at the time of the
25 transfers.

1 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Mr. Nolan, let
2 me go back to you then. If I'm going to have competing
3 expert opinions on solvency at the relevant dates of the
4 transfers, wouldn't it be less expensive and potentially no
5 more time consuming to simply try the case rather than go
6 through a potentially expensive summary judgment process?

7 I've looked at the stipulated facts. It appears
8 to the Court that the parties have obviously attempted to
9 limit the issues that would need to be tried at the time of
10 trial. But unless there's an agreement on solvency or
11 insolvency of the transferor entity on the date of the
12 transfers, don't I end up trying the case on solvency
13 anyway?

14 MR. NOLAN: Well, Your Honor, the -- if it's an
15 intentionally fraudulent transfer, solvency is not an area
16 of inquiry. And what I think the facts that we stipulated
17 to show is that there is a promissory note between Mr.
18 Schoor, who was the neighbor of Mr. Parmar, and it says it's
19 a personal loan.

20 And in discovery, we asked for any documents
21 surrounding the loan, and everything that the plaintiff
22 received back, including the testimony of the defendant, is
23 that this was a personal loan made not for business purposes
24 and which Mr. Schoor continually over a period of five years
25 asked Mr. Parmar to honor his word as a friend, as a loan

1 that was made in friendship.

2 And I think based on the fact that there's no
3 documents tying the loan that was given, and the fact that
4 it's undisputed that the money that was paid back was paid
5 back with the debtors' funds, that defendant can't simply
6 just rely on oral testimony from Mr. Schoor that says, well,
7 he told me that somewhere along the line, he was going to
8 use this for his business.

9 I think the case law in New York demands that
10 there be at least some documentation, (a) showing that it's
11 a valid antecedent debt and that it's an antecedent debt of
12 the debtor.

13 And then the most compelling of all, Your Honor,
14 on the fraudulent -- on intentional fraudulent conveyance
15 is, as the Court knows, under both the New York Debt
16 Creditor Law and the Bankruptcy Code, it's the intent of the
17 transferor that's at issue, which is Mr. Parmar.

18 And the plaintiff has undisputed evidence that
19 after this personal loan was given between Mr. Parmar and
20 Mr. Schoor and after the debtor paid back the debt with the
21 debtors' monies, Mr. Parmar and Mr. Chivukula, the CFO, went
22 back and created a fake consulting agreement, a consulting
23 agreement that I gave to Mr. Schoor at his deposition, and
24 he said "I didn't sign it, I've never been a consultant to
25 the debtor, I've never given any consulting services to any

1 of Mr. Parmar's companies". And that's just per se evidence
2 of a fraudulent conveyance.

3 It was coded in the debtors' books and records as
4 professional fees, and Mr. Chivukula in an email to Mr.
5 Parmar even says, "Hey, we have to come up with some reason
6 for why we paid this loan back."

7 THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, you're now circling back to
8 my initial question to you, which was the trustee's
9 intending to move forward for summary judgment just on the
10 DCL 276 actual intent claim or more, because I understand
11 the narrow strike argument that you're making on the motion
12 that's not in front of me yet, it's actual intent 276 when
13 we're done here.

14 MR. NOLAN: Sure.

15 THE COURT: And if they're asking to do is move
16 for summary judgment on that one claim, I understand that;
17 that's narrow -- you might win, you might not. But to
18 broaden it out to include reasonably equivalent or lack of
19 reasonably equivalent value under constructive fraud claims;
20 that's a beast of a different nature.

21 So now I'm back to the first question I asked you,
22 which is, does the trustee want to file a summary judgment
23 motion while it's full summary judgment, it's only on the
24 276 issue.

25 MR. NOLAN: Your Honor, thank you for the

1 clarification. I think that's correct. I think then we
2 could limit the single issue in front of the Court to be the
3 New York Debt and Creditor Law 276 cause of action.

4 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Campbell, you said
5 that you're waiting on some documents from Merrill Bank of
6 America, and I'll just treat those as documents that you
7 would want for response to a summary judgment -- to respond
8 to a summary judgment motion on the 276 actual intent claim.

9 Is there any other discovery that's owed or
10 outstanding that might relate to the actual intent claim?

11 MR. CAMPBELL: At this time, Your Honor, I don't
12 believe so. Of course, I haven't seen the Merrill Lynch
13 documents and it's possible that that could lead to
14 additional requests, but I think it's unlikely; however, I
15 don't -- I would be speculating if I know.

16 But based on Bank of America's voicemail message
17 they left me, it sounds like it may be voluminous, but I
18 just don't know, so I hope that's a sufficient answer, Your
19 Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay, fair enough. So then let's
21 proceed on this basis. Relevant to this adversary
22 proceeding and some of the others that we're going to talk
23 about this morning, the Court is satisfied a trial date in
24 early June for some of these adversary proceedings or
25 potentially others that are not in front of me today.

1 It would seem that given the good work that you
2 all have done on the stipulated facts, there's probably not
3 much more that would be necessary for the plaintiffs'
4 summary judgment motion and then obviously any response from
5 the defendant. I don't know at this point that I need a
6 reply because in a reply, I'm not going to consider any
7 evidence that wasn't submitted either through the
8 stipulation or the ultimate motion.

9 So I can set a schedule for you all that tracks
10 you toward a June 7 trial week setting, but also potentially
11 gets to resolution of the 276 issue before you all spend the
12 big money on expert witness. Now, you may be all ready to
13 do that, you may want to revisit your timeline, but I would
14 recommend that you all discuss whether or not you really
15 want to now spend the money on the timeline you're on for
16 experts if we're going to take a rifle shot on the 276.

17 Bu the Court has set aside -- Miss Mills, what is
18 the date in March that we're now using for Orion?

19 CLERK: I believe it's March --

20 THE COURT: Is it March 16th?

21 CLERK: Yes.

22 THE COURT: March 16th?

23 CLERK: Yeah, March 16th at 10:00.

24 THE COURT: All right. So what we can do is this
25 is since you all are obviously working cooperatively

1 together, is for you all to come up with a submission
2 schedule that would have the summary judgment argued on
3 March 16th at 10:00 a.m.

4 My admonition to you all is I'm happy for you all
5 to agree to dates and deadlines that work for you
6 respectively, but I want to make sure that everything the
7 Court's being asked to look at is last submitted by March
8 9th, so that we have a week ahead of time.

9 So that should give the plaintiff time to go ahead
10 and get the summary judgment record ready, let the defendant
11 file the response in advance of March 9th. And again, I
12 don't know that I'm going to need a reply brief on these
13 issues, but if one of you believes it's critical to have it,
14 then just make sure that it's filed by no later than March
15 9th.

16 And again, recognizing that if summary judgment
17 were denied, you would be tilting toward a June 7 trial,
18 just recalibrate how you want to handle the expert deadlines
19 in the event -- this is capital I, capital F -- if the case
20 is resolved at summary judgment. Fair enough?

21 MR. NOLAN: Understood, Your Honor.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: So then what I'll have you do is just
24 go ahead after -- go ahead and confer offline, and then just
25 submit a letter outlining the dates by which plaintiff shall

1 file, defendant shall respond. I'll also order that once
2 it's submitted, but you know the outside date I'm looking at
3 is March 9th with an argument March 16th at 10:00 a.m.

4 For today's purposes, I'm going to adjourn the
5 pretrial conference over to the March 16 at 10:00 a.m.; that
6 gets it on that calendar. When the plaintiff files their
7 summary judgment motions, just want to make sure that you
8 then give notice of hearing for it for March 16th at 10:00
9 a.m.

10 As far as the additional time to get the documents
11 from Merrill and Bank of America, I'll take Mr. Nolan not
12 saying not to that as assent to that extension, so that will
13 be fine, Mr. Campbell.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. And also, to the extent
16 that you all modify the scheduling order that was previously
17 submitted to account for our discussion this morning about
18 summary judgment and expert witnesses, just reflect that in
19 the same letter to the Court to be so ordered.

20 We'll then issue the trial scheduling order that
21 will include these dates and deadlines in it so that you're
22 on trial track for June 7th in the event that summary
23 judgment were denied as a result of the March 16 hearing.

24 All right. Anything else we need to discuss then
25 this morning on 20-08042, other than the Court saying

1 obviously feel free to settle between now and then and take
2 it out of my hands?

3 MR. CAMPBELL: For defendant, nothing further,
4 Your Honor.

5 MR. NOLAN: One question from the plaintiff. Your
6 Honor, how is it in New York? Are you doing trials via Zoom
7 or is everything shut down?

8 THE COURT: So as of right now, the March 16 will
9 definitely be via WebEx, and we'll issue an order setting
10 that WebEx protocol. June 7, I don't know yet whether we'll
11 be live or Memorex, I guess is the old line, but whether
12 we'll be live or via WebEx or some combination; details to
13 follow on that. But at the current time, I have been and
14 will continue to try cases via WebEx even while we're
15 operating under our emergency code of procedures.

16 MR. NOLAN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right, very well. Thank you,
18 both.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: All right, thank you. Have a great
20 day.

21 CLERK: Case Number 20-8049.

22 MR. NOLAN: Jeff Nolan appearing on behalf of the
23 Plaintiff, Howard Ehrenberg.

24 MR. ROSEN: Good morning, Your Honor. Sanford
25 Rosen, Rosen and Associates, PC. And I'm appearing on

1 behalf of the Defendant.

2 THE COURT: All right. Very well.

3 MR. NOLAN: Your Honor?

4 THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, on status, please go ahead.

5 MR. NOLAN: Yeah. Your Honor, so we had submitted
6 at the prior pretrial conference, Counsel and I had at least
7 uploaded a case management and discovery plan. It has not
8 been executed by the Court. In the interim, since the last
9 scheduling conference, Counsel for the Defendants and I have
10 met and conferred and we went through some of the issues in
11 the case.

12 This is a \$6-million fraudulent conveyance action.
13 And there's an issue with some of the transfers. And
14 Defendants had indicated they had received some of the
15 transfers, and they had not received others. The Trustee
16 has subsequently gone back and looked at some of the
17 underlying transfers that are at issue. And I believe, Your
18 Honor, what we are going to do is either amend the complaint
19 to add more detail for the Defendant as to some of the
20 multi-million dollar transfers or, you know, provide that
21 information with specificity.

22 And once we do that, I think the parties at least
23 are working amicably enough that we can submit a case
24 management and discovery plan to the Court that'll track
25 this in a similar fashion to some of the other adversaries.

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rosen?

2 MR. ROSEN: No, that's accurate, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: All right. In terms of the dates and
4 deadlines, I know that you all had from a prior conference
5 talked about discovery ending yesterday and experts by early
6 April. Are you talking about other dates, different dates,
7 at this point?

8 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. NOLAN: We would submit a discovery plan that
11 would probably have, you know, a two-week lag to go ahead.
12 I mean we've done Rule 26 disclosures, but we've probably
13 then set forth a fact discovery period which would be, you
14 know, 90 to 120 days and then have the dates for experts
15 similar to all the other case management and discovery plans
16 that you've seen from our firm.

17 THE COURT: All right. So under current
18 contemplation, I'm just trying to think when would be the
19 most productive time to have you all back in. If you're
20 talking about discovery -- fact discovery cutting off late
21 April, perhaps May, and then experts to follow on, it may
22 make more sense to simply bring you in for your next
23 pretrial conference in May when we're setting up pretrial
24 conferences for Orion. I don't know that it's going to be
25 productive to have you all back in before then. Do you have

1 a sense of that, Mr. Nolan?

2 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. I think we'll have
3 the pleadings at issue in the next 30 days. So we could
4 either -- a couple of different scenarios. We could either
5 be back in front of the Court on March 16th, and the Court
6 could enter a case management and discovery plan based on
7 what the Court felt was appropriate where the parties were.
8 Or I think we could simply stipulate to the case management
9 and discovery plan and submit it to the Court for review and
10 approval without, you know, having to clog the courtroom and
11 see us again.

12 THE COURT: All right. The second sounds like it
13 may be more cost effective and efficient. Mr. Rosen, do you
14 have a view on that?

15 MR. ROSEN: I agree with you, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: All right. So then let's do this,
17 we'll set -- let you do it by amended complaint or a
18 supplemental complaint. You all are working cooperatively
19 together. I'll leave it to you all to work that out. What
20 we'll do is we'll adjourn today's pretrial conference to May
21 18th at 2 o'clock. May 18th at 2 o'clock, anticipating that
22 well before then the Court will have entered a trial
23 scheduling order that would include the dates that the
24 parties have worked out for close of discovery and other
25 issues which you may stipulate to.

1 Obviously, if something comes up where you need us
2 before May, you know where we are. But in terms of a
3 pretrial conference on keeping the case tracking toward a
4 trial, I think May 18th will work fine. And then once we
5 get the amended proposed scheduling order in, then we'll
6 issue that order and then we'll find you all a trial date
7 consistent with the deadlines -- the dates and deadlines
8 that you all are currently discussing.

9 But for today's purposes, Mr. Nolan, will you
10 docket notice of adjournment of the pretrial conference to
11 May 18 at 2 o'clock? And then we'll look for the submission
12 of the amended proposed scheduling order.

13 MR. NOLAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 MR. ROSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 CLERK: Case Number 20-8052.

16 MR. NOLAN: Jeff Nolan appearing on behalf of the
17 Plaintiff, Howard Ehrenberg in his capacity as Liquidating
18 Trustee.

19 THE COURT: Do we have Defendant's counsel?

20 MR. NOLAN: I did not hear him sign on. It's
21 Anthony Giuliani.

22 THE COURT: Ms. Mills, do we have him on?

23 CLERK: Let me see if someone just came on. I
24 don't have him on, Judge.

25 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

1 CLERK: I don't know --

2 THE COURT: Mr. Nolan?

3 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. So, Your Honor, we
4 have a case management and discovery plan in place in this
5 adversary. Fact discovery is to be completed no later than
6 March 15th. There's been no depositions yet, but there has
7 been written discovery exchanged. And we're just moving
8 along in that capacity. There's been a number of subpoenas
9 issued. So, you know, nothing eventful to report to the
10 Court.

11 THE COURT: All right. And we do have a proposed
12 discovery control plan. I don't see that the June 7 date
13 that the Court had discussed earlier would likely work for
14 the trial on this one since expert discovery would not be
15 completed until the end of May. I don't think that gives
16 you all enough time.

17 MR. NOLAN: Yeah. In this one, Your Honor -- I'm
18 sorry, I didn't fill you in on the big picture. This is a
19 fraudulent conveyance cause of action for about \$250,000.
20 It's a little more complicated than some of the other
21 matters. So I would agree with the Court there could be
22 issues, since I've issued approximately six subpoenas to
23 different entities, that could raise an issue, a factual
24 issue trying to get everything in the door before the close
25 of fact discovery.

1 So Plaintiff certainly is -- it's acceptable to
2 the Plaintiff to have this on a little later of a trajectory
3 than the Schoor matter.

4 THE COURT: All right. Would it make sense,
5 again, in terms of cost and efficiency, to bring this one
6 back in on May 18th? It'll be pretty close to the end of --
7 at least the contemplated end of expert discovery, we'll
8 issue you a scheduling order that includes a trial date that
9 incorporates the dates and deadlines that you all have
10 agreed to. That trial date will not be June or earlier.

11 I don't know that there's a reason to bring you
12 all back in before the May 18.

13 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. I think that's
14 acceptable. I mean depending upon what is, you know,
15 determined through fact discovery, this might be a similar
16 case as Schoor that might have an intentional fraudulent
17 theory. And I mean we could all visit that in May 18th
18 after fact discovery is cut.

19 THE COURT: All right. Fair enough. All right.
20 So then for today's purposes, Mr. Nolan, if you'll docket
21 notice of adjournment to May 18 at 2 o'clock, let Mr.
22 Giuliani know we missed him. And in the event that there's
23 a need for a pre-hearing, a pre-motion conference if the
24 Plaintiff does tilt towards summary judgment on this one,
25 unless there's a reason or need to have that sooner, then

1 you could also use the May 18 at 2 o'clock for that unless
2 there's a need to have it sooner.

3 MR. NOLAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 CLERK: Case Number 20-8051.

5 MR. NOLAN: Jeff Nolan appearing on behalf of the
6 Plaintiff, Howard Ehrenberg.

7 MS. HADDEN: And Maryann Hadden appearing on
8 behalf of Defendant 2 River Terrace Apartment 12-J, LLC.

9 MR. PITTINSKY: Larry Pittinsky, Rosenberg &
10 Pittinsky, appearing on Riverhouse -- Board of Managers of
11 Riverhouse Condominium.

12 THE COURT: All right. The Court had notified the
13 parties we were going to use this morning as argument on
14 summary judgment. Let me ask before we launch into that,
15 has there been any change on the status on the ground with
16 respect to the sale of the unit or, in the bigger picture,
17 settlement issues?

18 MR. PITTINSKY: Your Honor, Mr. Pittinsky speaking
19 on the sale. I don't know if you want that to go first.
20 The sale's scheduled for the 29th of this month and
21 dependent upon what Your Honor does vis-à-vis the
22 Plaintiff's motion, whether the sale will proceed or not on
23 that date. But it was adjourned. If Your Honor remembers
24 from the last court appearance, I made a representation to
25 speak to the Board and to work cooperatively with all

1 parties. And we did adjourn the sale. The new date is
2 January 29th.

3 MS. HADDEN: And, also, Your Honor, on that, same
4 issue as to the sale. I was asked by my client --

5 THE COURT: Please state your name again, please.

6 MS. HADDEN: Oh, I apologize, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Just state your name again. That's
8 okay.

9 MS. HADDEN: Maryann Hadden. I was asked by my
10 client to file a proceeding in state court to attempt to
11 stop the sale, obviously not knowing how things will resolve
12 in front of Your Honor today in regards to the summary
13 judgment motion. So an order to show cause and a complaint
14 were both filed in state court yesterday. I do not have an
15 index number yet, which is the only reason why I have not
16 yet served them on Mr. Pittinsky.

17 But, Mr. Pittinsky, I will -- I'll actually email
18 you a copy before I get the index number just so that you
19 have the paperwork in advance.

20 MR. PITTINSKY: I appreciate that courtesy, but --
21 and I'm not going to debate this before Judge Turner. But
22 under the rules, if you're seeking a stay, you are required
23 to serve me with those papers prior to filing under the
24 local court rules. So you have not done that.

25 MS. HADDEN: I have not even received an index

1 number, so I will -- we'll obviously not debate this at this
2 point, but I'll speak with you on -- if it's acceptable to
3 you, I'll give you a call once we're off the record here and
4 we can have a conversation later.

5 MR. PITTINSKY: That's fine.

6 MS. HADDEN: Okay, thank you.

7 THE COURT: Let me, and I appreciate --

8 MS. HADDEN: Thank you.

9 THE COURT: -- I appreciate you all taking that
10 dispute over there -- well, that narrow issue over there.
11 But in terms of a bigger-picture issue, obviously, if there
12 have been settlement discussions, I don't want to know who
13 asked for what and who offered what, that's not my province.
14 But have the parties discussed a protocol to monetize --
15 that's the big-dollar word they use in the Southern District
16 of New York and other courts -- monetizing the unit,
17 basically selling the unit off, paying off the -- kind of
18 the liens that are putting the time trigger on their sale
19 and then simply duking it out over who gets the rest?

20 MR. NOLAN: Jeff Nolan --

21 THE COURT: I assume, Mr. Nolan, that the
22 Trustee's long-term plan if he prevails on litigation is
23 he's going to sell the unit, convert it to cash, and figure
24 out what to do with the money. So I'm wondering if you all
25 have already had that discussion now to see if you can do

1 that now while the litigation sorts itself out.

2 MR. NOLAN: Well, Your Honor, I can state to the
3 Court that I did have conversations with the Defendant to
4 try to step around some of the difficulties with the lien
5 that's against the unit and get it in a position that it
6 could certainly go in that direction. That is accurate,
7 Your Honor, that the Trustee's perspective is that this is
8 an asset of the estate that should be monetized.

9 The Trustee certainly can hold title under the
10 trust agreement. But the Trustee, as the Court would know,
11 is not in the business of operating condominium units,
12 residential condominium units. And -- but we just have been
13 unable to unearth this condominium unit and was hoping that
14 the summary judgment motion might present a path to do that.

15 I think the Plaintiff is certainly in agreement
16 with what the Court is stating that -- I don't know if
17 Mr. -- if the Defendant believes that the real property is
18 unique in such a way that they would share that it's a money
19 dispute as opposed to something, you know, deeper.

20 THE COURT: Ms. Hadden?

21 MS. HADDEN: There's certainly at least some
22 personal property involved in the apartment that the manager
23 of my client has not had access to. So in that sense, I
24 can, you know, certainly represent that he at least would
25 consider it to be an individual item of real property as

1 opposed to a monetizable asset.

2 And Mr. Nolan's absolutely correct. We did, you
3 know, engage in some initial discussions about it. I can't
4 say that we're at the point or even close to the point where
5 I would describe it as being something where we're in
6 agreement on it, not to say that that agreement isn't
7 possible in the future but we're certainly not there yet.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MR. PITTINSKY: So, Your Honor, from the
10 Condominium's point of view, if the unit gets sold by the
11 entity that's technically on the deed, we would have our
12 rights. But I don't see the Board waiting for that event to
13 occur just in a vacuum, number one.

14 Number two, any claim by Ms. Hadden that there's
15 been access denied or not permitted, it's just factually
16 false. We would never make a claim. Actually, let me
17 revisit that. If there's personal property in the apartment
18 and our judgment is not satisfied by virtue of the sale,
19 then we might seek a restraint against anything that's in
20 the apartment if it's owned by the LLC. But that's getting
21 ahead of myself in terms of the collection on the judgment.

22 THE COURT: From what's been told to the Court
23 thus far, if this unit were sold in an arms-length open
24 transaction and it didn't bring enough to pay off the
25 condominium lien, we'd be having a much less pleasant

1 conversation than what we're having right now, so --

2 MS. HADDEN: I think that's accurate, Your Honor.

3 MR. NOLAN: Your Honor, Jeff Nolan for the
4 Plaintiff. I think it would be a fair assumption for the
5 Court that if the Plaintiff did prevail and the conveyance
6 was set aside, that the Trustee would move to immediately,
7 in a regular sale that could maximize the value, liquidate
8 the property. And that would not necessarily mean that, you
9 know, that the money realized couldn't be somehow, you know,
10 utilized to, A, satisfy the Condominium Association and, B,
11 before the Court's jurisdiction to do as it, you know,
12 ultimately determines should be done.

13 I mean it would be an estate asset, and it would
14 be subject to the bankruptcy court's oversight and approval
15 just like any other bankruptcy court case.

16 THE COURT: All right. Well, I would encourage
17 the parties to pick -- I will generously say pick up those
18 conversations where they left off. I'm sure, as you all
19 know, that there are procedures specifically under Section
20 363(f) of the Bankruptcy Code to have the sale of interest
21 in which the estate -- property in which the estate claims
22 an interest sold free and clear of lien, claims,
23 encumbrances, have those liens attach to the proceeds, pay
24 the condominium assessment and liens off, and then fight
25 over who gets the -- what would appear at this point appear

1 to be several million dollars of proceeds.

2 That's not before me today. But, again, I would
3 encourage the parties to pick those discussions up where
4 they may have left off.

5 So let me turn then to, Mr. Nolan, if you want to
6 then proceed on the summary judgment motion.

7 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

8 Your Honor, we submitted a joint statement of
9 fact, uncontroverted facts, with the cooperation of Counsel
10 for the Defendant. And, you know, we're here on a Trustee's
11 motion for summary judgment, because in the Trustee's
12 opinion, this is a textbook case of a fraudulent conveyance.
13 The underlying facts are not in dispute. There's no dispute
14 that the transfer took place, that 5.6 million was taken out
15 of the Debtor's bank accounts by the Debtor's CEO who
16 controlled and operated a debtor entity in the healthcare
17 sector, and he took the money out not to buy something
18 related to the business of the Debtor but to buy a
19 residential condo in Manhattan.

20 There's no dispute before the Court that the title
21 to the real property was parked in an LLC which the CEO
22 incorporated only days before and which he owns, controls,
23 and says he solely manages. There's also no dispute before
24 this Court that the CEO instructed his identity be concealed
25 with respect it purchasing and occupying the condominium

1 unit. There's no dispute that he thereafter moved into the
2 unit solely for his own personal benefit, and he's been
3 using the condominium unit solely under his own control and
4 personal benefit, allowed others to use it for their
5 personal benefit including his family members, his
6 girlfriend, et cetera.

7 I think one thing that should give this Court some
8 confidence that the CEO manipulated and controlled this
9 debtor entity however he wanted to use it is that after he
10 moved into the property, he used the Debtor's credit cards
11 to pay for the upkeep for at least a year and a quarter that
12 he occupied the unit. It's not a disputed fact in the
13 separate statement that about \$67,000 was incurred on the
14 Debtor's credit cards to pay for the taxes, the common costs
15 associated with it.

16 There was nothing put forward in the opposition to
17 justify why an executive with the Debtor could do such --
18 take such actions. That should give the Court another piece
19 of evidence to indicate that the Debtor was manipulated by
20 the CEO.

21 The motion sets forth, Your Honor, admissible
22 evidence that the diversion of funds was, in fact, simply a
23 cash-and-grab. The opposition does not dispute that the
24 transaction occurred. They simply try to justify it here in
25 2020 and the year 2021 that, hey, there's some documents

1 that could be their contention would support the basis for a
2 debt and the diversion of \$5.6 million.

3 But the facts before the Court, Your Honor, it's
4 not disputed that there's no book entries that, A,
5 memorialize an antecedent debt and, B, there's no book
6 entries -- nothing in the Debtor's books and records that
7 evidence that the transfer of this real -- of the money and
8 the transfer of the real property satisfied an antecedent
9 debt.

10 So what the Court's left with is the estate which
11 is depleted of \$5.6 million and with no similar exchanging
12 value given to the Debtor. And that's the textbook
13 definition of a fraudulent conveyance, has the estate been
14 depleted without exchanging property of similar value to the
15 Debtor to the prejudice of the Debtor's unsecured creditors.

16 Our papers, the Mellon Bank case, which says
17 that's kind of the big picture that the Court looks at when
18 they look at a fraudulent conveyance. And if anything, Your
19 Honor, the Plaintiff's contention is the opposition should
20 give the Court some confidence that what the evidence the
21 Plaintiff said occurred is in fact true. We submitted --

22 THE COURT: That's more -- Mr. Nolan, hang on,
23 that's more on the actual intent side because I think you're
24 now -- it sounds like you were now going into the
25 constructive fraudulent transfer side. And let me ask you

1 on the constructive fraudulent transfer side, we do have a
2 fairly extensive affidavit from Mr. Jones, but he's not
3 presented as a solvency expert.

4 He's done a forensic analysis and is providing
5 some contemporaneous and prior -- some contemporaneous, some
6 subsequent documents. But he does not -- possibly because
7 he wasn't retained to do this, he's not actually expressed
8 an opinion on solvency or insolvency on or before or after
9 the dates of the transfers of the payments for the condo.

10 MR. NOLAN: That's correct, Your Honor. We did
11 not submit a -- and we have not submitted a solvency report.
12 The motion on the constructive fraud side of the analysis is
13 basically that, number one, if the Debtor evidences to the
14 Court or the Plaintiff evidences to the Court that there was
15 no consideration for the transaction, then insolvency -- the
16 Debtor's presumed to be insolvent, as well as if the --
17 under 273(a), there's another theory that we set forth to
18 the Court which that under 273(a), the analysis is good
19 faith and fair consideration.

20 And if the Plaintiff shows to the Court it's a
21 self-interested transaction, that's a transaction where the
22 defendant is on both sides of the equation and which we have
23 shown here, it's undisputed. I mean the opposition, Your
24 Honor, essentially tries to justify the transaction by
25 saying Mr. Parmar, the CEO of the transferor, is simply

1 paying himself what he was entitled to as the transferee.

2 Under New York Creditor Law 273-A, that's a self-
3 interested transaction and it cannot -- it can never be made
4 in good faith. That's a bad-faith transaction in that the
5 CEO is favoring himself over creditors of the estate. And
6 the transaction fails under 273-A regardless of the issue of
7 solvency, irregardless.

8 THE COURT: Well, what if Mr. Parmar were actually
9 owed \$6 million at the time of the condo purchase and paid
10 himself \$6 million directly? Is that still on its face a
11 fraudulent transfer?

12 MR. NOLAN: Yes, it is. Because the way -- first
13 off, there's nothing in the books and records to indicate --
14 I mean there's no W-2, there's no -- there's nothing in the
15 books and records that memorializes that this is a
16 compensation, that there was compensation paid to an
17 executive. I mean that would be in the Debtor's books and
18 records as a liability. It would be in the Debtor's books
19 and records as an expense that could be set off against
20 income.

21 I mean there would be any number of different
22 places in the Debtor's books and records if Mr. Parmar had
23 actually take that money as income that that would appear.
24 But there's nothing in the books and records to say that.
25 So --

1 THE COURT: No, I understand that. But it sounded
2 as if you were arguing even if -- capital I, capital F --
3 even if Mr. Parmar was owed \$6 million, buying the condo as
4 his form of compensation is on its face a fraudulent
5 transfer, whether actual or constructive, because there's an
6 absence of good faith.

7 MR. NOLAN: Yes. It's -- Your Honor, we would say
8 that that's an accurate statement of the law that an insider
9 payment is not in good faith regardless of whether it's paid
10 on account of an antecedent debt -- and I would instruct the
11 Court in my reply papers, I cited to the American Media v.
12 Bainbridge decision. And American Media, the president was
13 -- of the debtor was a gentleman by the name of Ruderman.
14 He paid himself to repay loans which he said that were
15 valid. And, in fact, in that case, you know, he submitted
16 evidence that there was a debt that was owed to him and he
17 took the money out to pay himself back.

18 And the court in that case said that the
19 requirement of good faith is not fulfilled through
20 preferential transfers of corporate funds to debtors -- to
21 directors, officers, or shareholders of a corporation. And
22 so -- and I cited a number of other cases in New York that
23 also stand for that proposition that that type of a
24 transaction violates 273-A on its face.

25 There's some other -- there's another case, Your

1 Honor, is the American -- let me just grab this. It was the
2 Atlantic Shipping Corp v. Chemical Bank decision, Your
3 Honor, 818 F.2d 240 (2d Cir.1987). And that case sets forth
4 that New York -- it's well settled in New York that the
5 general rule or the exception to the general rule that
6 repayment of an antecedent debt does not constitute fair
7 consideration when the recipient of the payment is a
8 corporate insider, i.e., an officer, director, or major
9 shareholder of the transferor corporation.

10 And that is admitted by the Defendant in the
11 opposition, Your Honor, at paragraph 32. In one paragraph,
12 Mr. Parmar and the Defendant admit that this is a self-
13 interested transaction solely to benefit one person who is
14 the executive officer and director and majority shareholder
15 of both the transferor and the transferee.

16 So the motion, at least, Your Honor, from our
17 perspective under constructive fraud sets forth two paths
18 that would allow the Court to find that this is a
19 constructively fraudulent transfer even without addressing
20 the issue of solvency.

21 In fact, we thought, Your Honor, in submitting the
22 motion that even though we didn't have a solvency opinion
23 that we could rely on the tax records and the Debtor's books
24 and records, Your Honor. And I cited to a couple of cases
25 at page 22 of my motion that sets forth if a debtor has a

1 negative income for each year and is consecutively throwing
2 up negative losses like the Debtor was doing in this
3 case -- negative 12 million in 2015, negative 40 million for
4 net income in 2016, negative 20 million in 2017 -- and their
5 negative retained earnings were \$133 million by the time
6 they filed the petition in bankruptcy, that that is evidence
7 of insolvency. Negative net operating losses are evidence
8 of insolvency under the Bankruptcy Code under the In re FHI
9 decision.

10 And it at least pushed the burden over to the
11 Defendants, Your Honor, to come forth with some credible
12 admissible evidence that what the Trustee was setting forth
13 that the Debtor was just racking up losses every year was
14 not the case. And nothing was put forth for an argument
15 that was submitted by any admissible evidence, Your Honor,
16 to give the Court some type of hesitation that the Debtor
17 was actually solvent or had some ability to pay its debts.

18 In fact, the opposition admits a separate
19 statement of fact, Your Honor, that there was a judgment
20 issued against the Defendant -- or the Debtor in 2015 for
21 about \$200,000 and that debt was never paid by the Debtor.
22 It was a judgment out of the Southern District of Texas, and
23 it is outstanding as of today.

24 And there's a third path for finding a valid
25 constructive fraud against the -- under New York Debtor

1 Creditor Law, there's a third path for this Court to find or
2 grant the summary judgment if the Court finds that either at
3 the time or before the transfer there was a docketed
4 judgment against the Debtor and it was unsatisfied, then it
5 a per-se constructively fraudulent transfer.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Nolan, jumping from that because
7 that does segue whether you intended to or not to another
8 question the Court has which is it's really -- I view it as
9 a remedies question, and I think both sides touch on it on
10 the papers, which is for whom the recovery may be set aside.

11 There seems to be an issue raised at least by the
12 Defendant that, well, even if you're right, even if the
13 Plaintiff is right on its recoveries theories, the
14 conveyance can only be set aside to satisfy the 200,000 or
15 so dollars of creditors that existed at the time of the
16 purchase. Will you address that?

17 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor. I took the
18 Plaintiff's -- the Defendant's argument to mean that the
19 Trustee -- only that the party that docketed the judgment
20 would be entitled to make a claim of constructive fraud
21 under 273-A, that you actually had to be the creditor that
22 was chasing the debtor and was unpaid.

23 And I set forth in our reply, Your Honor, a number
24 of cases that specifically held that you don't need to be
25 the -- it seems to be it would be a standing issue is that

1 you don't need to be the creditor that actually docketed the
2 judgment to say that a transfer that occurred after your
3 judgment was docketed should be set aside.

4 And the reason I would submit to the Court that
5 our interpretation is more reasonable is, number one is the
6 opposition didn't set forth any case law to guide the Court
7 that the Court should read that statute so narrowly that
8 it's restricted to the judgment creditor. And number two
9 is, Your Honor, our reply set forth three cases, one of
10 them's in the Eastern District Bankruptcy Court New York
11 that set forth that a trustee could -- if the docketed
12 judgment exists at the time of the transfer, the trustee can
13 step into the shoes and can enforce that theory of liability
14 against the transferee and for good reason, Your Honor.

15 Those cases talk about the fact that it's the
16 purpose of 273-A is to stigmatize transfers that allow money
17 to go out of the estate when a valid judgment debtor --
18 judgment creditor exists. It's just -- it's not something
19 under New York law that ever has been encouraged, and those
20 particular cases -- one of them which I believe is the
21 Akerman case, are all instances which the Trustee steps into
22 the shoes. And that's nothing inconsistent with cases that
23 are in front of the Court.

24 Trustees just by virtue of the fact of a petition
25 being filed in bankruptcy are always forced to step into the

1 shoes of a creditor to use state fraudulent conveyance law.

2 I mean, the predicant --

3 THE COURT: My question is not so much the 544(a)
4 imbued or hypothetical creditor status of the trustee. My
5 question is really -- and the reason I posed it is more of a
6 remedies question which is, if the allegation is the
7 transfer was fraudulent as to creditors who existed at the
8 time of the transfer, is the trustee's recovery limited to
9 the amount necessary to satisfy those creditors as opposed
10 to a transfer which is fraudulent as to existing and future
11 creditors and whether or not and to what extent there's
12 evidence in this record of who those future creditors may
13 be.

14 Again, I'll try to pose the question differently.
15 Set insolvency issues aside, if the debtor that made the
16 payments at the time the debtor made the payments owed
17 \$250,000 to other creditors and chose not to make those
18 payments, those creditors should be satisfied from the
19 recovery of the asset transferred. So who is it here for
20 whom the trustee seeks recovery if it's more than just the
21 two creditors who existed or had claims or judgments at the
22 time of the condo purchase?

23 MR. NOLAN: I am trying to identify. I identify
24 for the Court two cases that came out of New York that
25 talked about a trustee stepping in and setting aside a

1 transfer to satisfy a judgment creditor that existed on the
2 -- had docketed a judgment. I don't believe either one of
3 those cases, Your Honor, made a distinction about limiting
4 or quantifying the amount of damages based on the judgment.
5 I think the bigger picture on those cases, Your Honor, and I
6 think it's borne out by the cases -- the case comments in
7 the case law is that the court stigmatizes the transfer
8 where legitimate creditors not only were owed money but
9 docketed a judgment and insiders went ahead and transferred
10 money around those creditors and those -- as long as the
11 trustee can prove that those creditors were never paid, that
12 the rationale is to set off the transfer.

13 I guess the Court could be in a situation at some
14 point if the Court agree with my interpretation that you
15 could have a transfer that's more de minimus -- I mean, a
16 transfer that's larger and you could have a de minimus
17 judgment and there could be, you know, an equity issue
18 about, well, geez, if it's only \$1,000 judgment and the
19 transfer is so much larger, you know, what happens to the
20 difference if you set it aside.

21 I mean, in this case, Your Honor, general
22 unsecured creditors are not anywhere in the money right now.
23 In fact, the Court knows that secured creditors are owed
24 money in this bankruptcy estate. If the transfer is set
25 aside in this particular case for 5.6 million then there's

1 not going to be -- there's no issue of the creditors
2 receiving anything significant until the secured creditors
3 are paid. But the amount of money that comes in from the
4 trustee first goes to secured creditors and then unsecured
5 creditors.

6 So I don't think we would be forcing the Court
7 into some twisted fact pattern that, you know, a simple
8 \$200,000 judgment that was recorded in 2015 is
9 disproportionate to the \$5.6 million transfer that's before
10 the Court.

11 THE COURT: Right. Well, that -- but again, if
12 I'm understanding the plaintiff's papers correctly, you all
13 are arguing that the transfer was fraudulent as to existing
14 and future creditors. Is that right?

15 MR. NOLAN: Correct, Your Honor. The way the law
16 is set is it's not only if -- it leaves the -- if the
17 transfer, you know, violates the (indiscernible) that it's
18 to an indicter. It's not made for fair consideration. But
19 if the debtor's insolvent, it's fraudulent as to not only
20 existing creditors but future creditors.

21 THE COURT: All right. I do want to -- I have
22 some questions for Ms. Hadden so I'll come back to you, Mr.
23 Nolan, for rebuttal, but I do want to give Ms. Hadden a
24 chance to argue.

25 MS. HADDEN: Certainly, Your Honor. Maryann

1 Hadden. Your Honor, do you want to address your questions
2 first or do you want me to sort of free flow first and then
3 you can catch up to me as I got? How would you prefer?

4 THE COURT: It may be easier for me to start with
5 a question I have -- well, maybe a couple questions I have
6 and then I'll let you get to the flow of the argument that
7 you wanted to present it.

8 The first being, I don't have -- I don't seem to
9 have any summary judgment -- admissible summary judgment --
10 evidence from the defendant.

11 MS. HADDEN: Part of that, Your Honor, was my time
12 frame issues. I had some issues getting in touch with my
13 client and getting documents into the format that they
14 should have been in for the Court. Rule 56(e) obviously
15 does provide the Court with a range of possible options in
16 that scenario, obviously including the opportunity to give
17 me an opportunity to make some of those documents -- and by
18 make, I don't mean create -- but, you know, for example, the
19 bank statements that I submitted. I submitted them as
20 redacted as to the payees and payors because it was a public
21 document. Obviously, I can submit the full unredacted
22 document to the Court with copy to counsel in a non-public,
23 non-ECF forum. I believe I have a certification from Chase
24 as to those records because they were obtained as part of
25 discovery in another matter. I may not so I need an

1 opportunity to get a discovery from Chase as to that.

2 As to the consulting agreement between FUH and
3 CHT, that being another obviously substantial exhibit from
4 the defendant's perspective, that's something where a
5 certification would presumably have to come from Mr. Parmar
6 as the manager of FUH. And obviously --

7 THE COURT: Those are the documents more to which
8 the Court's question goes because I don't see in the summary
9 judgment record an affidavit from Mr. Parmar saying that on
10 or about the dates of the transfers at issue I was owed 6
11 million, 5 million, 9 million, \$12 million by the transfer
12 or entity and the debts that were owed to me were satisfied
13 in part, in full, through the purchase of the 2 River
14 Terrace condominium unit.

15 MS. HADDEN: That's correct, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: I don't see anything along those
17 lines.

18 MS. HADDEN: I understand. Part of that was
19 essentially an excess of caution on my part in that Mr.
20 Parmar obviously was a defendant in a indicted criminal case
21 so my effort is always to avoid any scenario in which I am
22 requesting affidavit from him for anything that could be
23 connected to that criminal matter. And so I incorrectly
24 relied on the burden being on the movant in a Rule 56 motion
25 in that it is up to the movant to establish that the

1 evidence is such that a reasonable jury could not return a
2 verdict for the opponent. But obviously I (indiscernible)
3 Parmar.

4 THE COURT: No, I'm not posing it as an error in
5 strategy of substance. I'm just -- in looking over the
6 summary judgment record that I have -- obviously, the Court
7 should only consider evidence which is in the form which
8 would be admissible at the time of trial and we do have a
9 series of objections from the trustee to the summary
10 judgment evidence that's been submitted whether due to lack
11 of affidavit or attestation from Mr. Parmar or from anyone
12 else who may have knowledge of the relevant fact.

13 And so for 56(e) purposes, if your response as
14 well under Rule 56(e), I need more time to gather the
15 documents -- if that's one -- if it's in the response, and,
16 two, if it's a question of, I need an affidavit -- the
17 defendant would like to submit an affidavit from Mr. Parmar
18 to attest to the facts that are asserted in the response,
19 however, due to other circumstances, he's unavailable to
20 submit an affidavit at this time, for some time, for
21 whatever period of time -- I'm not going to consider as
22 evidence evidence which is not in admissible form as Rule 56
23 requires.

24 MS. HADDEN: I understand that, Your Honor. And,
25 yes, it's -- so I sort of have a two-part response. As to

1 items such as the bank statements, I would be able to
2 provide certified copies for the Court. It just may require
3 a, you know, brief amount of time in order to do that.
4 However, as to an affidavit from Mr. Parmar because of the
5 extenuating circumstances os the criminal case, I'm in a
6 situation where he would be willing to provide an affidavit.
7 I would have the ability to obtain an affidavit but it puts
8 him in a very awkward position in terms of his criminal case
9 and his Fifth Amendments rights. I'm very hesitant to do
10 that.

11 THE COURT: So then -- and I don't know. We can
12 talk more specifically about the bank records if that's
13 something that the trustee is maintaining its objection to,
14 but in terms of the allegations that on or about such-and-
15 such a date, I, Mr. Parmar, was owed 4 million, 8 million,
16 12 million, whatever the number is, there's just no evidence
17 in the record to support that. And the second prong of that
18 going back to something Mr. Nolan -- the trustee -- argued
19 in papers and this morning, even if that is the case or was
20 the case, there's nothing in the record indicating -- in
21 admissible or inadmissible form -- that Mr. Parmar or anyone
22 else took on the tax burden of actually receiving \$6 million
23 or so in compensation back in December of 2015 and February
24 of 2016. So is 2 River asserting that in fact Mr. Parmar or
25 his entities received \$5.5 million of compensation in 2015

1 and 2016 through the purchase of the condominium?

2 MS. HADDEN: Yes. And I cannot answer the
3 question as to whether or not 2 River filed tax returns
4 reflecting that because I don't know the answer honestly. I
5 should have looked at that --

6 THE COURT: Well, the argument --

7 MS. HADDEN: -- but I don't have (indiscernible)

8 THE COURT: Yes. The argument in the papers is
9 not that 2 Rivers received five-and-a-half-plus million
10 dollars of compensation. The argument in the papers is is
11 that Mr. Parmar or First United Health or one of his other
12 entities received \$5.5 million of compensation through the
13 purchase of the condominium and if that's the case,
14 presumably there exists tax filing documents -- 1099, W2s,
15 whatever they may be -- which would actually exhibit that
16 the entities who are claiming that that was their
17 compensation actually then took on the tax and associated
18 burdens of claiming that compensation for state and federal
19 tax reporting purposes.

20 MS. HADDEN: Yes, Your Honor. That is correct.
21 And that, again, would be --

22 THE COURT: I don't see, for example --

23 MS. HADDEN: -- in the category of an item -- I
24 apologize.

25 THE COURT: No. But I don't see, even in

1 inadmissible form, you know, here's the 1099 that was issued
2 from the transfer or entity or the other form of tax
3 documents showing that in fact it expensed it out or applied
4 it to the compensation owed.

5 MS. HADDEN: That's correct. And that was
6 entirely an oversight on my part. It honestly didn't even
7 occur to me until Your Honor started speaking a moment ago
8 that that would have been another way of showing the way in
9 which the compensation was received and the fact that it was
10 acknowledged by Mr. Parmar and by his constellation of
11 entities -- using the word constellation advisedly.

12 THE COURT: No pun intended. But I --

13 MS. HADDEN: Yes. No pun intended.

14 THE COURT: I may have misunderstood. I thought
15 the defendant was arguing that, not only was Mr. Parmar or
16 were he and the entities associated at the time, actually
17 owed this money but for antecedent debt purposes, the
18 transfer -- the purchase of the condominium was actually
19 made to satisfy that antecedent debt because, like a
20 Broadway musical with no music, I guess it's academically,
21 theoretically interesting to argue the existence of
22 antecedent debt, but if the antecedent debt is not actually
23 satisfied by the transfer, what's the purpose of arguing
24 antecedent debt.

25 MS. HADDEN: No. Your Honor, did understand my

1 papers correctly. And frankly, I should have attached tax
2 returns as documents to them. It simply didn't occur to me
3 as being another document which I could have obtained in
4 order to support it. That should have occurred to me and
5 simply didn't. But the -- your understanding of my argument
6 was entirely accurate.

7 THE COURT: That is both existed and satisfied --
8 that that's the argument.

9 MS. HADDEN: Correct, yes

10 THE COURT: All right. And so if I were to
11 conclude from the record before me that I in fact have no
12 admissible evidence of either the existence of an antecedent
13 debt at the time of December 2015 or February of 2016 and I
14 have no evidence -- no admissible evidence -- of the
15 satisfaction of such a debt, how does the defendant fend off
16 summary judgment?

17 MS. HADDEN: So summary judgment is sought by the
18 trustee under several different arguments. Obviously,
19 there's the claim -- I believe Mr. Nolan phrased it as a
20 textbook case of fraudulent conveyance -- that there was a
21 fraudulent intent involved in this transfer. And I do not
22 believe that the evidence before this Court, looking solely
23 at the admissible evidence, is such that a clear case of
24 fraudulent intent is made out.

25 I want to go back for just a moment to the

1 question that Your Honor had asked about 273(a) and I
2 believe, if I caught the question correctly, it was whether,
3 you know --- the plaintiff's argument was that a CEO could
4 never pay himself or obtain compensation for himself under
5 273(a) without it being a per se fraudulent transfer. And
6 again, if I understood that correctly, Mr. Nolan was
7 agreeing that was, you know, the logical extension of the
8 plaintiff's argument. Taking that down -- further down the
9 logical extension path, I don't believe that that complies
10 with the statute.

11 273(a) is very specific in that it applies -- and
12 this may very well be one of the reasons why the statute was
13 repealed by the legislature -- but it applies in a very
14 specific scenario where a conveyance has been made while
15 there is a pending judgment or action and it is fraudulent
16 just as to the plaintiff in that action -- that's the plain
17 language of the statute -- fraudulent as to plaintiff in
18 that action -- without regard to the actual intent of the
19 defendant, if, after final judgment, the defendant fails to
20 satisfy the judgment. So it's a very specific factual
21 scenario where there's a pending judgment or pending action
22 solely as to that particular plaintiff and in that
23 particular scenario, the regard of the -- there's no regard
24 given to the actual intent of the defendant.

25 However, extending the concept of 273(a) to say

1 that a CEO or other insider or corporate officer can never
2 by paid for a debt without it being a fraudulent transfer
3 extends that statute to the point where it become
4 unreasonable. Obviously, CEOs can be paid. Corporate
5 officers can be paid. The real question is whether or not
6 the business or the company is made insolvent by that
7 transfer or unable to pay the debt in, you know, looking
8 specifically at 273(a), that's outstanding in the action or
9 the judgment.

10 In this particular case, we're talking about a
11 debt that -- or a judgment that was approximately \$200,000,
12 looking at the two -- the combination of the two judgments
13 put together, and a \$5 million conveyance. The \$5 million
14 conveyance, if you look at the bank records of the debtor,
15 did not render the debtor insolvent at that time, did not --
16 it's essentially as if -- sort of analogizing it to more
17 normal person scenarios -- if you owe a \$20 co-pay to your
18 doctor and you haven't paid for that \$20 co-pay -- it's
19 still outstanding -- and then you go out and buy a house.
20 The fact that you've bought a house is not what is rendering
21 you unable to pay your \$20 co-pay to your doctor. You just
22 haven't in your head put those two categories in the same --
23 haven't put those two facts in the same category. You're
24 still able to pay the doctor, you just haven't gotten around
25 to doing it. It's the --

1 THE COURT: Well, but I think that that --

2 MS. HADDEN: -- defendant's argument that that's -
3 -

4 THE COURT: Right. But I think in that good
5 hypothetical, if I go out and buy a house, I'm putting the
6 house in my name so I've traded one asset for another asset.
7 The (indiscernible) here I think from the trustee's
8 standpoint is that CHT took \$5.5 million and put an asset in
9 the name of another entity.

10 MS. HADDEN: It did.

11 THE COURT: So my doctor or my, you know, medical
12 provider can't look to me for that \$20 anymore because if I
13 bought that house it's not in my name.

14 MS. HADDEN: That's a fair point. The defendant's
15 argument is that essentially because Parmar was the manager
16 of 2 Rivers Terrace and the sole human representative of 2
17 River Terrace that they're essentially equivalent. And he
18 was in a similar scenario with First United Health which was
19 the entity that had the consulting agreement with CHT.
20 Unfortunately --

21 THE COURT: Be careful how far that argument --
22 that argument may go further than you want to --

23 MS. HADDEN: Exactly.

24 THE COURT: -- but, okay. Yeah.

25 MS. HADDEN: It may very well take me for me

1 further than I need to go.

2 THE COURT: Yeah.

3 MS. HADDEN: I did also want to touch briefly on -
4 - and I'm, you know, sort of derailing myself for a moment
5 here -- but on the question of the tax returns as evidence
6 of solvency or insolvency. And I believe the trustee has
7 fairly heavily relied on them as evidence of the debtor's
8 insolvency in this form that they were filed by FTI once FTI
9 came in as a consultant in eventually dealing with the
10 debtor's estate.

11 My contention as to tax returns which I may or may
12 not have managed to argue clearly enough in the motion is
13 that a tax return, again, is something that is a somewhat
14 malleable creature while still being fully admissible under
15 the income regulations and under the tax code. Again,
16 taking sort of a real-world example, if you purchase a
17 laptop for your business, you could deduct that entire
18 expense in one tax year which reduces the income of the
19 business by a larger amount or you could amortize that
20 expense over, I think, either two or three years -- and
21 again, I'm not an accountant so don't do your taxes by what
22 I'm saying -- but if you split that item up over a two- to
23 three-year period, again, complying with the tax laws while
24 doing so, it raises the income for each of those years.

25 So you can have the same exact set of financial

1 facts leading to two very different tax returns depending on
2 how you handle amortizable expenses. And the fact that the
3 debtors filed amended tax returns showing a loss as opposed
4 to the tax returns that were originally filed that not only
5 did not show a loss but wound up with the debtor owing taxes
6 and paying those taxes, gives two very different pictures of
7 solvency and I think that goes back to the Court's question
8 as to the fact that there was no actual opinion on solvency
9 presented.

10 So I think that the central issue before the Court
11 really is solvency and the question of solvency -- whether
12 or not in a summary judgment scenario specifically we're in
13 a situation where the evidence is such that a reasonable
14 jury could not return a verdict for the defendant. And I
15 don't think we are in that scenario. I think we're in a
16 scenario where there are significant questions as to
17 solvency in particular. There are questions as to whether
18 or not there was or was not fair consideration. There's
19 questions as to whether there was or was not an antecedent
20 debt. There are questions as whether there was or was not
21 fraudulent intent.

22 Obviously, my answers to those questions are very
23 different from the plaintiff's answers to those questions.
24 But I think that we are in as scenario where really in order
25 to have an actual factual determination, you would need a

1 solvency opinion. You would need expert opinions. You
2 would need full discovery. You'd need someone with records
3 access on both sides so that both sides could argue that
4 issue for the Court. I don't think we're in that scenario.
5 And although we are in agreement on some of the most basic
6 facts, I don't think that the agreement on those most basic
7 facts is sufficient to bring the Court to the level where
8 you would be able to make a determination on a summary
9 judgment motion.

10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Hadden.
11 Mr. Nolan, anything you want to add?

12 MR. NOLAN: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly.
13 Typically, when a fraudulent conveyance case comes before a
14 court, most of the time I'm arguing with my colleague over
15 whether or not what was given as consideration was
16 reasonably equivalent value. You know, there's opinions
17 going back and forth whether or not what was exchanged for
18 what was in the ballpark of the conveyance. Those can get
19 really fact intensive but that's not the fact pattern that's
20 in front of this Court.

21 I mean, the undisputed facts are that there's a
22 transfer in front of the Court for no consideration. None.
23 And we've submitted to the Court the case law in New York
24 that says transactions that are performed without any signs
25 of tangible consideration are presumptively fraudulent. I

1 cited to the Mendelsohn versus Jacobowitz decision, 394 B.R.
2 646. And it talks about interfamilial transfers without any
3 signs of tangible consideration as being presumably
4 fraudulent, and that's what's in front of the Court right
5 now.

6 I didn't force Mr. Parmar or the defendant to make
7 the argument in the opposition that they made, that this was
8 a self-interested transaction that benefited Mr. Parmar. I
9 just simply, in the reply brief, drew attention to the fact
10 that even if you accept Mr. Parmar or defendant's argument,
11 Your Honor, that somehow there was fair consideration
12 because there was an antecedent debt and what was
13 transferred satisfied that antecedent debt, that that
14 argument doesn't even make sense because what they've
15 submitted to the Court is, a) not admissible evidence, but
16 one of the documents says that FUH which is a separate
17 third-party corporation agreed to take stock, not to take
18 cash. They would have at best an equity interest and they'd
19 be line behind everybody else.

20 And then the argument that there's an antecedent
21 debt in the opposition, Your Honor -- it's based on a
22 formula, a formula that the defendant doesn't even give all
23 the different variables to the Court to allow the Court to
24 decide if there is -- even is an antecedent debt owed on the
25 issue of fair consideration.

1 I certainly appreciate some the arguments from the
2 bench just now about, well, what happens if Mr. Parmar
3 submitted an affidavit saying, you know, he was owed 6
4 million or 9 million and took the property in consideration
5 for that transfer. But I would submit to the Court that
6 we've submitted to the Court that the Ackerman versus
7 Ventimiglia case out of the Eastern District of New York.
8 It's at 362 B.R. 71. And in the Ackerman case, Your Honor,
9 the Court says that there has to be credible admissible
10 evidence to determine that there was, a) an amount owed
11 under a loan, and b) it has to be credible evidence as to
12 the amount of that debt and that submission of an affidavit
13 or oral testimony alone can't replace a finding of a
14 legitimate antecedent debt owed.

15 And I would think that that could give some
16 guidance to the Court or at least some comfort that if the
17 Court is so inclined to find that there hasn't been
18 admissible evidence of an antecedent debt and that there
19 hasn't been admissible evidence that an antecedent debt was
20 satisfied, that it's proper at this stage. And Your Honor,
21 we are in an -- unfortunately -- a difficult position with
22 this condominium given the confluence of factors here that
23 there is the sheriff's sale coming up and -- the opposition
24 didn't dispute that summary judgment motions, I guess, they
25 should be granted sparingly but they are proper where the

1 facts and circumstances are ripe.

2 It saves everybody time and money. We've got a
3 property right now where the initial judgment was 200,000.
4 Now it's \$450,000 on behalf of the homeowner's association.
5 The trustee submits that there is clear evidence in front of
6 this Court that -- of both the intentional fraudulent
7 conveyance and the constructive fraudulent conveyance. And
8 there's just not a reason to kick the can down the road any
9 further. Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. I
11 -- Court appreciates the arguments from both sides and the
12 status update from counsel for the condominium association.
13 I am not prepared to rule today. I want to give further
14 consideration to both the papers and what's been argued this
15 afternoon. Having also a fairly full docket next week, I
16 cannot get to a ruling before your current sale date. I
17 would recommend that the parties continue their discussions
18 about either a further adjournment of that sale date or the
19 protocol under where the property can be monetized while the
20 Court is considering the adversary proceeding in general and
21 the summary judgment motion in particular. I will give you
22 all for your timing purposes -- Ms. Mills, can we use
23 February 9th at three o'clock at least as a tentative
24 ruling?

25 CLERK: Hold one second, Judge.

1 THE COURT: Any time.

2 CLERK: Hold on one second. We can use two -- can
3 we go to 2:30 because you already have pre-trials on that
4 day.

5 THE COURT: All right. So February 9th at 2:30.
6 Ms. Mills, if you'll docket notice of an adjournment. This
7 is -- the intention of the Court would be to give you all a
8 ruling on this motion on February 9th at 2:30. If for some
9 reason the Court's not in a position to rule at that time,
10 we'll notify you all in advance. But that would a ruling
11 conference only. That's not any further argument. February
12 9th at 2:30.

13 MS. HADDEN: Thank you.

14 MR. NOLAN: (indiscernible)

15 THE COURT: All right. Very well --

16 MR. PITTINSKY: Your Honor, speaking for the
17 condominium, I will consult with the board, advise them of
18 that control ruling date and then I will advise Mr. Nolan
19 and Ms. Hadden by email on what the outcome of that is. It
20 may take me a day or two to -- both of them to get the board
21 together to get an answer on that. And Ms. Hadden, I look
22 forward to speaking offline on the state court case that you
23 say you've commenced. All right?

24 MS. HADDEN: Yeah. So I'll give you a call as
25 soon we get off here.

1 THE COURT: All right. And again, this is
2 redundant to what I've already said, but if the parties are
3 amenable to a sale protocol, the Court would certainly be
4 open to establishing the procedure under which the unit is
5 monetized and the parties fight over who gets the money.

6 All right. So then we'll be -- Ms. Mills, do we
7 have any other on the docket for this morning on the ten
8 o'clock call?

9 CLERK: That's it, Judge.

10 THE COURT: All right. So then until February 9th
11 at 2:30 p.m. Again, the Court appreciates the papers
12 submitted as well as the arguments of the parties this
13 morning.

14 MS. HADDEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 MR. NOLAN: (indiscernible), Your Honor.

16 MR. PITTINSKY: Thank you.

17 THE COURT: The Court will therefore be in recess
18 until one o'clock and we'll go off the record. Good day,
19 all.

20 AUTOMATED VOICE: We're sorry. Your conference is
21 ending now. Please hang up.

22 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
23 11:35 AM)

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.



Sonya Ledanski Hyde

Veritext Legal Solutions

330 Old Country Road

Suite 300

Mineola, NY 11501

Date: March 1, 2021

[& - actual]

Page 1

&	20-08038 1:18	3	8500 5:13
& 5:3,18 6:1,8 7:8 7:21,25 8:5,22 26:9	20-08042 1:9 18:25	30 22:3	9
0	20-08049 2:1	300 63:22	9 46:11 59:4
07748 5:21	20-08051 2:9	32 38:11	90 21:14
1	20-08052 2:17	330 63:21	906 6:3
1 63:25	20-8042 8:15	34th 5:5	917-809-0346 8:3
1,000 43:18	20-8049 19:21	362 59:8	9th 17:8,11,15 18:3 60:23 61:5,8 61:12 62:10
10007 5:14	20-8051 26:4	363 31:20	
10016 6:4	20-8052 23:15	394 58:1	
10017 5:6	200,000 39:21	4	a
10017-2803 6:11	40:14 44:8 53:11 60:3	4 48:15	a.m. 17:3 18:3,5,9
1099 49:14 50:1	2015 39:3,20 44:8 48:23,25 51:13	40 39:3	ability 39:17 48:7
10:01 3:6	2016 39:4 48:24	42 4:1	able 48:1 53:24 57:8
11501 63:23	49:1 51:13	450,000 60:4	abruzzi 2:22
11722 3:3	2017 39:4	5	absence 37:6
11:35 62:23	2020 33:25	5 46:11 53:13,13 54:8	absolutely 30:2
12 26:8 39:3 46:11 48:16	2021 3:5 33:25 63:25	5.6 32:14 34:2,11 43:25 44:9	academically 50:20
120 21:14	21 3:5	544 42:3	accept 58:10
125 5:20	22 38:25	548 10:8	acceptable 25:1 25:14 28:2
133 39:5	232 6:3	56 45:14 46:24 47:13,14,22	access 29:23 30:15 57:3
15th 24:6	240 38:3	6	account 11:12,14 18:17 37:10
16 18:5,23 19:8	250,000 24:19 42:17	6 20:12 36:9,10 37:3 46:10 48:22 59:3	accountant 55:21
160,000 9:4	26 21:12	646 58:2	accounts 32:15
16th 16:20,22,23 17:3 18:3,8 22:5	273 10:5 35:17,18 36:2,6 37:24 40:21 41:16 52:1 52:5,11,25 53:8	67,000 33:13	accurate 21:2 29:6 31:2 37:8 51:6 63:4
18 23:11 25:12,21 26:1	276 10:1 14:10,12 14:24 15:3,8 16:11,16	7	ackerman 59:6,8
18-71748 1:3	290 3:2	7 16:10 17:17 19:10 24:12	acknowledged 50:10
18th 22:21,21 23:4 25:6,17	29th 26:20 27:2	71 59:8	action 15:3 20:12 24:19 52:15,16,18 52:21 53:8
190 5:20	2:30 61:3,5,8,12 62:11	747 6:10	actions 33:18
2	2d 38:3	780 5:5	actual 10:3 14:10 14:12 15:8,10 34:23 37:5 52:18 52:24 56:8,25
2 5:12 7:20 22:21 22:21 23:11 25:21 26:1,8 36:14 46:13 48:24 49:3 49:9 54:16,16		7th 18:22	
20 39:4 53:17,18 53:21 54:12		8	
		8 48:15	
		818 38:3	

[add - attest]

Page 2

add 20:19 57:11 additional 9:21 11:5,9 15:14 18:10 address 9:20 40:16 45:1 addressing 38:19 adjourn 18:4 22:20 27:1 adjourned 26:23 adjournment 23:10 25:21 60:18 61:6 admissible 33:21 39:12,15 45:9 47:8,22 48:21 51:12,14,23 55:14 58:15 59:9,18,19 admit 38:12 admits 39:18 admitted 38:10 admonition 17:4 adv 1:9,18 2:1,9 2:17 advance 17:11 27:19 61:10 adversaries 7:16 20:25 adversary 8:14 9:4 15:21,24 24:5 60:20 advise 61:17,18 advisedly 50:11 affidavit 35:2 46:9,22 47:11,16 47:17,20 48:4,6,7 59:3,12 afternoon 60:15 ago 9:14 50:7 agree 17:5 22:15 24:21 43:14 agreed 25:10 58:17	agreeing 52:7 agreement 10:10 12:10 13:22,23 29:10,15 30:6,6 46:2 54:19 57:5,6 ahead 17:8,9,24 17:24 20:4 21:11 30:21 43:9 akerman 41:21 al 2:6,14,22 alan 3:22 7:3 allegation 42:6 allegations 48:14 allow 9:12,19 10:20,21 38:18 41:16 58:23 allowed 33:4 amenable 62:3 amend 20:18 amended 22:17 23:5,12 56:3 amendments 48:9 america 11:4,5,8 15:6 18:11 america's 15:16 american 37:11 37:12 38:1 amicably 20:23 amortizable 56:2 amortize 55:19 amount 42:9 43:4 44:3 48:3 55:19 59:10,12 analogizing 53:16 analysis 35:4,12 35:18 analyze 11:23 answer 10:17 15:18 49:2,4 61:21 answers 56:22,23 antecedent 13:11 13:11 34:5,8	37:10 38:6 50:17 50:19,22,22,24 51:12 56:19 58:12 58:13,20,24 59:14 59:18,19 anthony 23:21 anticipating 22:21 anymore 54:12 anyway 12:13 apartment 26:8 29:22 30:17,20 apologize 27:6 49:24 appear 31:25,25 36:23 appearance 7:6 26:24 appearances 8:17 appearing 8:19 19:22,25 23:16 26:5,7,10 appears 12:7 apple 10:21 applied 50:3 applies 52:11,13 appreciate 27:20 28:7,9 59:1 appreciates 60:11 62:11 appropriate 22:7 approval 22:10 31:14 approximately 9:14 24:22 53:11 april 21:6,21 area 12:15 argue 44:24 50:21 55:12 57:3 argued 17:2 48:18 60:14 arguing 37:2 44:13 50:15,23	57:14 argument 14:11 18:3 26:13 39:14 40:18 45:6 49:6,8 49:10 51:5,8 52:3 52:8 54:2,15,21 54:22 58:7,10,14 58:20 61:11 arguments 51:18 59:1 60:11 62:12 arms 30:23 arvind 6:9 8:1,5 aside 16:17 31:6 40:10,14 41:3 42:15,25 43:20,25 asked 12:20,25 14:21 17:7 27:4,9 28:13 52:1 asking 11:7 14:15 assent 18:12 asserted 47:18 asserting 48:24 assessment 31:24 asset 29:8 30:1 31:13 42:19 54:6 54:6,8 associated 33:15 49:17 50:16 associates 6:8 8:1 8:5 19:25 association 31:10 60:4,12 assume 28:21 assumption 31:4 ast 1:9,18 2:1,9,17 atlantic 38:2 attach 31:23 attached 51:1 attempt 27:10 attempted 12:8 attention 58:9 attest 47:18
---	--	--	--

[attestation - claim]

Page 3

attestation 47:11 attorney 7:6 attorneys 5:4,12 5:19 6:2,9 automated 62:20 avenue 5:5 6:3,10 avoid 46:21 awkward 48:8	60:4 believe 8:13 15:12 16:19 20:17 41:20 43:2 45:23 51:19 51:22 52:2,9 55:6 believes 17:13 29:17 bench 59:2 benefit 33:2,4,5 38:13 benefited 58:8 best 58:18 big 16:12 24:18 28:15 34:17 bigger 26:16 28:11 43:5 bite 10:21 board 6:2 7:22 26:10,25 30:12 61:17,20 book 34:4,5 books 14:3 34:6 36:13,15,17,18,22 36:24 38:23 borne 43:6 bought 53:20 54:13 box 5:20 brief 17:12 48:3 58:9 briefly 55:3 57:12 bring 21:22 25:5 25:11 30:24 57:7 broaden 14:18 broadway 50:20 bu 16:17 burden 39:10 46:24 48:22 burdens 49:18 business 12:23 13:8 29:11 32:18 53:6 55:17,19	buy 32:17,18 53:19 54:5 buying 37:3	catch 45:3 categories 53:22 category 49:23 53:23 caught 52:2 cause 15:3 24:19 27:13 caution 46:19 center 5:13 central 3:3 56:10 ceo 32:15,21,24 33:8,20 35:25 36:5 52:3 53:1 ceos 53:4 certainly 25:1 29:6,9,15,21,24 30:7 44:25 59:1 62:3 certification 45:23 46:5 certified 48:2 63:3 cetera 33:6 cfo 13:21 chance 44:24 change 26:15 chase 45:23 46:1 chasing 40:22 chemical 38:2 chief 7:3 chivukula 13:21 14:4 chose 42:17 cht 46:3 54:8,19 ciesla 5:18 8:22 cir.1987 38:3 circling 14:7 circumstances 47:19 48:5 60:1 cited 37:11,22 38:24 58:1 city 8:10 claim 10:3 14:10 14:16 15:8,10
b	benefit	c	
b 3:21 31:10 34:5 59:11 b.r. 58:1 59:8 back 12:2,22 13:4 13:5,20,22 14:6,7 14:21 20:16 21:19 21:25 22:5 25:6 25:12 37:17 44:22 48:18,23 51:25 56:7 57:17 bad 36:4 bainbridge 37:12 ballpark 57:18 bank 11:4,5,8 15:5,16 18:11 32:15 34:16 38:2 45:19 48:1,12 53:14 bankruptcy 1:1 3:1,23 9:5 10:8 13:16 31:14,15,20 39:6,8 41:10,25 43:24 based 13:2 15:16 22:6 43:4 58:21 basic 57:5,6 basically 28:17 35:13 basis 15:21 34:1 baton 9:24 beast 14:20 behalf 7:11,20,22 8:1,19,23 19:22 20:1 23:16 26:5,8		c 5:1 7:1,12 63:1,1 calendar 18:6 call 11:4 28:3 61:24 62:8 campbell 5:23 7:10,11,11 8:16 8:21,22 10:25 11:1,2,21 15:4,11 17:22 18:13,14 19:3,19 capacity 1:11 8:20 23:17 24:8 capital 17:19,19 37:2,2 cards 33:10,14 careful 54:21 case 1:3,9,18 2:1,9 2:17 8:14 9:5,7 12:5,12 13:9 17:19 19:21 20:7 20:11,23 21:15 22:6,8 23:3,15 24:4 25:16 26:4 31:15 32:12 34:16 37:15,18,25 38:3 39:3,14 41:6,21 43:6,7,21,25 46:20 48:5,8,19 48:20 49:13 51:20 51:23 53:10 57:13 57:23 59:7,8 61:22 cases 19:14 37:22 38:24 40:24 41:9 41:15,20,22 42:24 43:3,5,6 cash 28:23 33:23 58:18	

30:14,16 40:20 51:19 claiming 49:16,18 claims 14:19 31:21,22 42:21 clarification 15:1 clear 31:22 51:23 60:5 clearly 7:4 55:12 clerk 7:2,10,13,17 7:24 8:2,6,9,11,13 16:19,21,23 19:21 23:15,23 24:1 26:4 60:25 61:2 62:9 client 27:4,10 29:23 45:13 clog 22:10 close 10:19 22:24 24:24 25:6 30:4 closed 9:8 code 9:5 10:8 13:16 19:15 31:20 39:8 55:15 coded 14:3 colleague 9:21 57:14 collection 30:21 combination 19:12 53:12 come 14:5 17:1 39:11 44:22 46:5 comes 23:1 44:3 57:13 comfort 59:16 coming 11:19 59:23 commenced 61:23 comments 43:6 common 33:14 companies 14:1 company 53:6	compelling 13:13 compensation 36:16,16 37:4 48:23,25 49:10,12 49:17,18 50:4,9 52:4 competing 12:2 complaint 10:23 20:18 22:17,18 27:13 completed 24:5 24:15 complicated 24:20 complies 52:9 complying 55:23 concealed 32:24 concept 52:25 conclude 51:11 concluded 62:22 condo 6:2 32:19 35:9 36:9 37:3 42:22 condominium 7:23 26:11 29:11 29:12,13 30:25 31:10,24 32:25 33:3 46:14 49:1 49:13 50:18 59:22 60:12 61:17 condominium's 30:10 confer 9:15 17:24 conference 9:25 18:5 20:6,9 21:4 21:23 22:20 23:3 23:10 25:23 61:11 62:20 conferences 21:24 conferred 20:10 confidence 33:8 34:20	confluence 59:22 connected 46:23 connection 11:13 consecutively 39:1 consent 11:7,16 consider 16:6 29:25 47:7,21 consideration 35:15,19 38:7 44:18 56:18 57:15 57:22,25 58:3,11 58:25 59:4 60:14 considering 60:20 consistent 23:7 constellation 50:10,11 constitute 38:6 constructive 14:19 34:25 35:1 35:12 37:5 38:17 39:25 40:20 60:7 constructively 10:7 38:19 40:5 consult 61:17 consultant 13:24 55:9 consulting 13:22 13:22,25 46:2 54:19 consuming 12:5 contemplated 25:7 contemplation 10:1 21:18 contemporaneous 35:5,5 contention 34:1 34:19 55:11 continually 12:24 continue 19:14 60:17	control 24:12 33:3 61:18 controlled 32:16 33:8 controls 32:22 conversation 28:4 31:1 conversations 29:3 31:18 convert 28:23 conveyance 9:5 13:14 14:2 20:12 24:19 31:5 32:12 34:13,18 40:14 42:1 51:20 52:14 53:13,14 57:13,18 60:7,7 cooperation 32:9 cooperatively 16:25 22:18 26:25 copies 48:2 copy 27:18 45:22 corp 38:2 corporate 37:20 38:8 53:1,4 corporation 37:21 38:9 58:17 correct 11:3 15:1 30:2 35:10 44:15 46:15 49:20 50:5 51:9 correctly 44:12 51:1 52:2,6 cost 22:13 25:5 costs 33:14 counsel 8:5 9:15 9:22 20:6,9 23:19 32:9 45:22 60:12 country 63:21 couple 22:4 38:24 45:5 course 15:12
---	---	---	--

[court - designations]

Page 5

court 1:1 3:1 8:17 8:24 9:3,12,13,14 9:18,23 10:9,16 10:24 11:18 12:1 12:8 13:15 14:7 14:15 15:2,4,20 15:23 16:17,20,22 16:24 17:23 18:15 18:19,25 19:8,17 20:2,4,8,24 21:1,3 21:9,17 22:5,5,7,9 22:12,16,22 23:19 23:22,25 24:2,10 24:11,13,21 25:4 25:19 26:12,12,24 27:5,7,10,14,24 28:7,9,21 29:3,10 29:16,20 30:8,22 30:22 31:5,15,16 32:20,24 33:7,18 34:3,17,20,22 35:14,14,18,20 36:8 37:1,11,18 38:18 39:16 40:1 40:2,6,8 41:4,6,7 41:10,23 42:3,24 43:7,13,14,23 44:6,10,11,21 45:4,14,15,22 46:7,16 47:4,6 48:2,11 49:6,8,22 49:25 50:12,14 51:7,10,22 54:1,4 54:11,21,24 55:2 56:10 57:4,7,10 57:14,20,22,23 58:4,15,23,23 59:5,6,9,16,17 60:6,10,11,20 61:1,5,7,15,22 62:1,3,10,11,17 62:17	court's 17:7 31:11 31:14 34:10 46:8 56:7 61:9 courtesy 27:20 courtroom 7:3 22:10 courts 28:16 create 45:18 created 13:22 creature 55:14 credible 39:11 59:9,11 credit 33:10,14 creditor 9:6 10:5 13:16 15:3 36:2 40:1,21 41:1,8,18 42:1,4 43:1 creditors 34:15 36:5 40:15 42:7,9 42:11,12,17,18,21 43:8,10,11,22,23 44:1,2,4,5,14,20 44:20 criminal 46:20,23 48:5,8 critical 17:13 current 19:13 21:17 60:16 currently 23:8 cut 25:18 cutting 21:20	23:7 25:9 35:9 46:10 day 19:20 61:4,20 62:18 days 21:14 22:3 32:22 dcl 9:25 14:10 deadlines 17:5,18 18:21 21:4 23:7,7 25:9 dealing 55:9 debate 27:21 28:1 debt 9:6 13:11,11 13:15,20 15:3 34:2,5,9 37:10,16 38:6 39:21 50:17 50:19,22,22,24 51:13,15 53:2,7 53:11 56:20 58:12 58:13,21,24 59:12 59:14,18,19 debtor 1:7 10:5 11:14 13:12,20,25 32:16,18 33:9,17 33:19 34:12,15 35:13 37:13 38:25 39:2,13,16,20,21 39:25 40:4,22 41:17 42:15,16 53:14,15 56:5 debtor's 32:15,15 33:10,14 34:6,15 35:16 36:17,18,22 38:23 44:19 55:7 55:10 debtors 7:5 13:5 13:21 14:3 37:20 56:3 debts 39:17 46:12 december 48:23 51:13 decide 58:24	decision 37:12 38:2 39:9 58:1 deduct 55:17 deed 30:11 deeper 29:19 defendant 1:24 2:7,15,23 8:23 9:20,22 12:22 13:5 16:5 17:10 18:1 19:3 20:1,19 26:8 29:3,17 32:10 35:22 38:10 38:12 39:20 40:12 45:10 46:20 47:17 50:15 51:15 52:19 52:19,24 56:14 58:6,22 defendant's 23:19 40:18 46:4 54:2 54:14 58:10 defendants 1:16 11:20 20:9,14 39:11 definitely 19:9 definition 34:13 demands 13:9 deminimus 43:15 43:16 denied 17:17 18:23 30:15 dependent 26:21 depending 25:14 56:1 depleted 34:11,14 deposition 13:23 depositions 9:10 24:6 deputy 7:3 derailing 55:4 describe 30:5 designation 10:19 designations 9:9 10:10 11:19
	d 7:1 damages 43:4 date 10:19 12:11 15:23 16:18 18:2 23:6 24:12 25:8 25:10 26:23 27:1 48:15 60:16,18 61:18 63:25 dates 10:14 12:3 17:5,25 18:21 21:3,6,6,14 22:23		

detail 20:19	32:23 33:1,23	earlier 24:13	entries 34:4,6
details 19:12	59:24	25:10	equation 35:22
determination	disputed 33:12	early 15:24 21:5	equity 43:17
56:25 57:8	34:4	earnings 39:5	58:18
determine 59:10	distinction 43:3	easier 45:4	equivalent 14:18
determined 25:15	district 1:2 28:15	eastern 1:2 41:10	14:19 54:17 57:16
determines 31:12	39:22 41:10 59:7	59:7	error 47:4
difference 43:20	diversion 33:22	ecf 45:23	essentially 35:24
different 14:20	34:2	ecro 3:25	46:19 53:16 54:15
21:6 22:4 24:23	docket 23:10	effective 22:13	54:17
36:21 51:18 56:1	25:20 60:15 61:6	efficiency 25:5	establish 46:25
56:6,23 58:23	62:7	efficient 22:13	establishing 62:4
differently 42:14	docketed 40:3,19	effort 46:21	estate 29:8 31:13
difficult 59:21	41:1,3,11 43:2,9	ehrenberg 1:11	31:21,21 34:10,13
difficulties 29:4	doctor 53:18,21	1:20 2:3,11,19 4:2	36:5 41:17 43:24
directed 9:14	53:24 54:11	5:4 7:9,15 8:15,19	55:10
11:11	document 45:21	19:23 23:17 26:6	et 2:6,14,22 33:6
direction 29:6	45:22 51:3	either 16:7 20:18	evaluate 11:23
directly 36:10	documentation	22:4,4 40:2 43:2	event 17:19 18:22
director 38:8,14	13:10	51:12 55:20 60:18	25:22 30:12
directors 37:21	documents 11:6,9	email 9:19 14:4	eventful 24:9
disclosures 21:12	11:10 12:20 13:3	27:17 61:19	eventually 55:9
discovery 9:7,8	15:5,6,13 18:10	emergency 19:15	everybody 58:19
11:8 12:20 15:9	33:25 35:6 45:13	encourage 31:16	60:2
20:7,24 21:5,10	45:17 46:7 47:15	32:3	evicore 1:23
21:13,15,20,20	49:14 50:3 51:2	encouraged 41:19	evidence 13:18
22:6,9,24 24:4,5,7	58:16	encumbrances	14:1 16:7 33:19
24:12,14,25 25:7	doing 19:6 39:2	31:23	33:22 34:7,20
25:15,18 45:25	53:25 55:24	enforce 41:13	37:16 39:6,7,12
46:1 57:2	dollar 20:20 28:15	engage 30:3	39:15 42:12 45:10
discuss 16:14	dollars 32:1 40:15	enter 22:6	47:1,7,10,22,22
18:24	49:10	entered 22:22	48:16 51:12,14,14
discussed 24:13	don 8:21	entire 55:17	51:22,23 55:5,7
28:14	donald 5:23 7:10	entirely 50:6 51:6	56:13 58:15 59:10
discussing 23:8	7:11 11:2	entities 11:14	59:11,18,19 60:5
discussion 18:17	door 24:24	24:23 48:25 49:12	evidences 35:13
28:25	drew 58:9	49:16 50:11,16	35:14
discussions 28:12	due 47:10,19	entitled 36:1	exact 55:25
30:3 32:3 60:17	duking 28:19	40:20	exactly 54:23
disproportionate	e	entity 12:11 30:11	example 45:18
44:9	e 3:21,21 5:1,1 7:1	32:16 33:9 46:12	49:22 55:16
dispute 28:10	7:1 45:14 47:13	50:2 54:9,19	exception 38:5
29:19 32:13,13,20	47:14 63:1		

[excess - further]

Page 7

excess 46:19	f.2d 38:3	feel 19:1	format 45:13
exchanged 10:21	face 36:10 37:4,24	fees 14:4	formula 58:22,22
24:7 57:17	fact 9:8 13:2,3	felt 22:7	forth 21:13 33:21
exchanging 34:11	21:13,20 24:5,25	fend 51:15	35:17 38:3,17,25
34:14	25:15,18 32:9	fhi 39:8	39:11,12,14 40:23
executed 20:8	33:12,22 34:21	fifth 48:9	41:6,9,11 57:17
executive 33:17	37:15 38:21 39:18	fight 31:24 62:5	forum 45:23
36:17 38:14	39:19 41:15,24	figure 28:23	forward 9:10 10:2
exhibit 46:3 49:15	43:23 44:7 47:12	file 9:12 14:22	14:9 33:16 61:22
existed 40:15 42:7	48:24 50:3,9	17:11 18:1 27:10	frame 45:12
42:21 43:1 51:7	51:11 53:20 56:2	filed 4:1 17:14	frankly 51:1
existence 50:21	56:8 57:19,19	27:14 39:6 41:25	fraud 14:19 35:12
51:12	58:9	49:3 55:8 56:3,4	38:17 39:25 40:20
existing 42:10	factors 59:22	files 18:6	fraudulent 9:5
44:13,20	facts 9:16,17 12:7	filing 10:18 27:23	10:3,6,6,7 12:15
exists 41:12,18	12:16 16:2 32:9	49:14	13:14,14 14:2
49:14	32:13 34:3 47:18	fill 24:18	20:12 24:19 25:16
expense 36:19	53:23 56:1 57:6,7	final 52:19	32:12 34:13,18,25
55:18,20	57:21 60:1	financial 55:25	35:1 36:11 37:4
expensed 50:3	factual 24:23	find 23:6 38:18	38:19 40:5 42:1,7
expenses 56:2	52:20 56:25	40:1 59:17	42:10 44:13,19
expensive 12:4,6	factually 30:15	finding 39:24	51:20,21,24 52:5
expert 9:9 10:13	fails 36:6 52:19	59:13	52:15,17 53:2
10:19,20 11:20,22	fair 15:20 17:20	finds 40:2	56:21 57:13,25
11:23 12:3 16:12	25:19 31:4 35:19	fine 18:13 23:4	58:4 60:6,7
17:18 18:18 24:14	38:6 44:18 54:14	28:5	free 19:1 31:22
25:7 35:3 57:1	56:18 58:11,25	firm 8:22 21:16	45:2
experts 10:10	fairly 35:2 55:7	first 8:9 14:21	friend 12:25
11:19 16:16 21:5	60:15	26:19 36:12 44:4	friendship 13:1
21:14,21	faith 35:19 36:4,4	45:2,2,8 49:11	front 14:12 15:2
expressed 35:7	37:6,9,19	54:18	15:25 22:5 27:12
extending 52:25	fake 13:22	five 12:24 49:9	41:23 57:20,22
extends 53:3	false 30:16	floor 5:5	58:4 60:5
extension 11:8,17	family 33:5	flow 45:2,6	fti 55:8,8
18:12 52:7,9	far 18:10 30:23	follow 19:13	fuh 46:2,6 58:16
extensive 35:2	54:21	21:21	fulfilled 37:19
extent 18:15	fashion 20:25	force 58:6	full 14:23 45:21
42:11	favoring 36:5	forced 41:25	46:13 57:2 60:15
extenuating 48:5	february 48:23	forcing 44:6	fully 55:14
f	51:13 60:23 61:5	foregoing 63:3	funds 11:11 13:5
f 3:21 17:19 31:20	61:8,11 62:10	forensic 35:4	33:22 37:20
37:2 63:1	federal 3:2 49:18	form 37:4 47:7,22	further 19:3 52:8
		48:21 50:1,2 55:8	54:22 55:1 60:9

[further - indicate]

Page 8

60:13,18 61:11 future 30:7 42:10 42:12 44:14,20	good 7:2,7,25 8:4 8:18,21 11:1 16:1 19:24 35:18 36:4 37:6,9,19 41:14 54:4 62:18 gotten 53:24 grab 33:23 38:1 grant 40:2 granted 59:25 great 19:19 ground 26:15 group 5:11 7:20 guess 19:11 43:13 50:20 59:24 guidance 59:16 guide 41:6 gyparakis 6:14 8:4,4,7,7,10,12	healthcare 32:16 healthcorp 1:6,12 hear 23:20 hearing 4:1 9:1 18:8,23 25:23 hearings 7:4 heavily 55:7 held 40:24 hesitant 48:9 hesitation 39:16 hey 14:5 33:25 hold 29:9 60:25 61:2 homeowner's 60:4 hon 3:22 honestly 49:4 50:6 honor 8:18,21 9:3 10:4,17 11:1,17 11:21 12:14,25 13:13 14:25 15:11 15:19 17:21,22 18:14 19:4,6,16 19:24 20:3,5,18 21:2,8 22:2,15 23:13,14 24:3,3 24:17 25:13 26:3 26:18,21,23 27:3 27:6,12 29:2,7 30:9 31:2,3 32:7,8 33:21 34:3,19 35:10,24 37:7 38:1,3,11,16,21 38:24 39:11,15,19 40:17,23 41:9,14 43:3,5,21 44:15 44:25 45:1,11 46:15 47:24 49:20 50:7,25 52:1 57:12 58:11,21 59:8,20 60:9 61:16 62:14,15	hope 11:12 15:18 hoping 10:16 29:13 house 7:22 53:19 53:20 54:5,6,13 howard 1:11,15 4:2 5:4,19 7:9,12 7:15 8:15,19,23 19:23 23:17 26:6 human 54:16 hyde 4:25 63:3,8 hypothetical 42:4 54:5
g g 7:1 8:7,12 gather 47:14 geez 43:18 general 38:5,5 43:21 60:20 generously 31:17 gentleman 37:13 getting 30:20 45:12,13 giordano 5:18 8:22 girlfriend 33:6 giuliani 23:21 25:22 give 7:6 17:9 18:8 28:3 33:7,18 34:20 39:16 44:23 45:16 58:22 59:15 60:13,21 61:7,24 given 13:3,19,25 16:1 34:12 52:24 57:15 59:22 gives 24:15 56:6 go 12:2,5 17:9,24 17:24 20:4 21:11 26:19 29:6 41:17 51:25 53:19 54:5 54:22 55:1 61:3 62:18 goes 44:4 46:8 56:7 going 8:25 12:2 13:7 15:22 16:6 16:16 17:12 18:4 20:18 21:24 26:13 27:21 28:23 34:24 44:1 47:21 48:18 57:17	h h 7:12 hadden 5:16 7:18 7:19,19 26:7,7 27:3,6,9,9,25 28:6 28:8 29:20,21 30:14 31:2 44:22 44:23,25 45:1,11 46:15,18 47:24 49:2,7,20,23 50:5 50:13,25 51:9,17 54:2,10,14,23,25 55:3 57:10 61:13 61:19,21,24 62:14 half 5:20 49:9 halleran 5:18 8:22 handle 17:18 56:2 hands 19:2 hang 34:22 62:21 happens 43:19 59:2 happy 17:4 head 53:22 health 49:11 54:18	homeowner's 60:4 hon 3:22 honestly 49:4 50:6 honor 8:18,21 9:3 10:4,17 11:1,17 11:21 12:14,25 13:13 14:25 15:11 15:19 17:21,22 18:14 19:4,6,16 19:24 20:3,5,18 21:2,8 22:2,15 23:13,14 24:3,3 24:17 25:13 26:3 26:18,21,23 27:3 27:6,12 29:2,7 30:9 31:2,3 32:7,8 33:21 34:3,19 35:10,24 37:7 38:1,3,11,16,21 38:24 39:11,15,19 40:17,23 41:9,14 43:3,5,21 44:15 44:25 45:1,11 46:15 47:24 49:20 50:7,25 52:1 57:12 58:11,21 59:8,20 60:9 61:16 62:14,15	i i.e. 38:8 identify 42:23,23 identity 32:24 ilan 5:9 7:8 imbued 42:4 immediate 10:17 immediately 31:6 inadmissible 48:21 50:1 inclined 59:17 include 14:18 18:21 22:23 includes 25:8 including 12:22 33:5 45:16 income 36:20,23 39:1,4 55:15,18 55:24 inconsistent 41:22 incorporate 10:22 incorporated 32:22 incorporates 25:9 incorrectly 46:23 incurred 33:13 index 27:15,18,25 indicate 33:19 36:13

[indicated - length]

Page 9

indicated 20:14 indicating 48:20 indicted 46:20 indicter 44:18 indiscernible 44:17 47:2 49:7 54:7 61:14 62:15 individual 29:25 information 11:13 20:21 initial 14:8 30:3 60:3 inquiry 12:16 insider 37:8 38:8 53:1 insiders 43:9 insolvency 10:13 11:24 12:11 35:8 35:15 39:7,8 42:15 55:6,8 insolvent 35:16 44:19 53:6,15 instances 41:21 instruct 37:10 instructed 32:24 intended 40:7 50:12,13 intending 14:9 intensive 57:19 intent 10:3 13:16 14:10,12 15:8,10 34:23 51:21,24 52:18,24 56:21 intention 10:12 11:20 61:7 intentional 13:14 25:16 60:6 intentionally 10:6 12:15 interest 31:20,22 58:18 interested 35:21 36:3 38:13 58:8	interesting 50:21 interfamily 58:2 interim 20:8 interpretation 41:5 43:14 investments 2:22 involved 9:1 29:22 51:21 irregardless 36:7 islip 3:3 issue 9:4 13:17 14:24 15:2 16:11 18:20 19:9 20:13 20:17 22:3 23:6 24:23,24 25:8 27:4 28:10,11 36:6 38:20 40:11 40:25 43:17 44:1 46:10 56:10 57:4 58:25 issued 11:3 24:9 24:22 39:20 50:1 issues 12:9 17:13 20:10 22:25 24:22 26:17 42:15 45:12 45:12 it'll 25:6 item 29:25 49:23 55:22 items 48:1	60:25 62:9 judgment 4:1 9:13 10:2,14,18 10:22 12:6 14:9 14:16,22,23 15:7 15:8 16:4 17:2,10 17:16,20 18:7,18 18:23 25:24 26:14 27:13 29:14 30:18 30:21 32:6,11 39:19,22 40:2,4 40:19 41:2,3,8,12 41:17,18 43:1,2,4 43:9,17,18 44:8 45:9,9 46:9 47:6 47:10 51:16,17 52:15,19,20,21 53:9,11 56:12 57:9 59:24 60:3 60:21 judgments 42:21 53:12 jumping 40:6 june 15:24 16:10 17:17 18:22 19:10 24:12 25:10 jurisdiction 31:11 jury 47:1 56:14 justify 33:17,24 35:24	29:24 30:3 31:9,9 31:11,19 32:10 37:15 43:17,19 44:7,17 45:18 48:3,11 49:4 50:1 52:3,7 53:7 54:11 55:4 57:16 59:3 knowing 27:11 knowledge 47:12 knows 13:15 43:23
			l
			lack 14:18 47:10 lag 21:11 language 52:17 laptop 55:17 larger 43:16,19 55:19 larry 26:9 late 21:20 launch 26:14 lauren 7:17 law 5:11 7:19 8:22 9:6 10:5 13:9,16 15:3 36:2 37:8 40:1 41:6,19 42:1 43:7 44:15 57:23 lawrence 6:6 7:21 laws 55:23 lead 15:13 leading 56:1 leave 9:21 22:19 leaves 44:16 ledanski 4:25 63:3 63:8 left 15:17 31:18 32:4 34:10 legal 63:20 legislature 52:13 legitimate 43:8 59:14 length 30:23
	j	k	
j 26:8 jacobowitz 58:1 january 3:5 27:2 jeff 7:7 8:18 19:22 23:16 26:5 28:20 31:3 jeffrey 5:8 joint 9:15,17 32:8 jones 5:3 35:2 joseph 7:13,14 judge 3:23 7:3 8:14 23:24 27:21	k 8:7,12 keeping 23:3 kick 60:8 kind 28:17 34:17 know 9:19 10:9 10:21 15:15,18 16:5 17:12 18:2 19:10 20:20 21:4 21:11,14,24 22:10 23:2 24:1,9 25:11 25:14,22 26:19 28:12 29:10,16,19		

[letter - nature]

Page 10

letter 9:13,24 11:6 17:25 18:19 level 57:7 liability 10:23 36:18 41:13 lien 29:4 30:25 31:22 liens 28:18 31:23 31:24 limit 12:9 15:2 limited 42:8 limiting 43:3 line 8:25 9:1 13:7 19:11 58:19 lines 46:17 liquidate 31:7 liquidating 1:11 8:20 23:17 listen 7:14 litigation 28:22 29:1 little 24:20 25:2 live 19:11,12 llc 26:8 30:20 32:21 llp 5:3,11 6:1 7:20 loan 12:19,21,23 12:25 13:3,19 14:6 59:11 loaned 11:11 loans 37:14 local 27:24 logical 52:7,9 long 28:22 43:10 look 11:22 17:7 23:11 34:18 53:14 54:12 61:21 looked 12:7 20:16 49:5 looking 11:12 18:2 47:5 51:22 53:7,12	looks 34:17 loss 56:3,5 losses 39:2,7,13 lynch 11:4,12,14 15:12	media 37:11,12 medical 54:11 meet 9:15 mellon 34:16 members 33:5 memorex 19:11 memorialize 34:5 memorializes 36:15 mendelsohn 58:1 merrill 11:4,12,14 15:5,12 18:11 message 15:16 met 20:10 middletown 5:21 mile 5:20 million 20:12,20 32:1,14 34:2,11 36:9,10 37:3 39:3 39:3,4,5 43:25 44:9 46:11,11,11 46:11 48:15,15,16 48:22,25 49:9,12 53:13,13 54:8 59:4,4 mills 7:2 8:24 16:17 23:22 60:22 61:6 62:6 mineola 63:23 missed 25:22 misunderstood 50:14 modify 18:16 moment 50:7 51:25 55:4 monetizable 30:1 monetize 28:14 monetized 29:8 60:19 62:5 monetizing 28:16 money 13:4 16:12 16:15 28:24 29:18 31:9 32:17 34:7	36:23 37:17 41:16 43:8,10,22,24 44:3 50:17 60:2 62:5 monies 13:21 month 9:9,14 26:20 morning 7:2,7,25 8:4,18,21 9:20 11:1,7 15:23 18:17,25 19:24 26:13 48:19 62:7 62:13 motion 4:1 9:13 9:25 10:15,18 14:11,23 15:8 16:4,8 25:23 26:22 27:13 29:14 32:6,11 33:21 35:12 38:16,22,25 46:24 55:12 57:9 60:21 61:8 motions 18:7 59:24 movant 46:24,25 move 10:1 14:9,15 31:6 moved 33:1,10 moving 24:7 multi 20:20 music 50:20 musical 50:20 mute 8:25 9:1
	m		n
	m 1:11,15 4:2 5:4 madison 6:3 maintaining 48:13 major 38:8 majority 38:14 making 14:11 malleable 55:14 managed 55:12 management 9:7 20:7,24 21:15 22:6,8 24:4 manager 29:22 46:6 54:15 managers 6:2 7:22 26:10 manages 32:23 manhattan 32:19 manipulated 33:8 33:19 march 16:18,19 16:20,22,23 17:3 17:7,11,14 18:3,3 18:5,8,23 19:8 22:5 24:6 63:25 maryann 5:16 7:17,19 26:7 27:9 44:25 matter 1:5 25:3 45:25 46:23 matters 24:21 maximize 31:7 mean 21:12 25:14 25:17 31:8,13 35:23 36:14,17,21 40:18 42:2 43:15 43:21 45:18 57:21		n 5:1 7:1 63:1 name 8:6,9,11 27:5,7 37:13 54:6 54:9,13 narrow 14:11,17 28:10 narrowly 41:7 nature 14:20

[necessarily - parmar]

Page 11

necessarily 31:8 necessary 16:3 42:9 need 12:9 16:5 17:12 18:24 23:1 25:23,25 26:2 40:24 41:1 45:25 47:14,16 55:1 56:25 57:1,2,2 negative 39:1,2,3 39:3,4,5,7 neighbor 12:18 net 39:4,7 never 13:24,25 30:16 36:3 39:21 43:11 52:4 53:1 new 1:2 3:3 5:6,14 5:14 6:4,4,11 9:6 9:25 10:5 13:9,15 15:3 19:6 27:1 28:16 36:2 37:22 38:4,4 39:25 41:10,19 42:24 57:23 59:7 nj 5:21 nolan 5:8 7:7,7 8:18,19 9:2,3,23 10:4,16 11:3,7,16 12:1,14 14:7,14 14:25 17:21 18:11 19:5,16,22,22 20:3,4,5 21:8,10 22:1,2 23:9,13,16 23:16,20 24:2,3 24:17 25:13,20 26:3,5,5 28:20,20 28:21 29:2 31:3,3 32:5,7 34:22 35:10 36:12 37:7 40:6,17 42:23 44:15,23 48:18 51:19 52:6 57:11 57:12 61:14,18	62:15 nolan's 30:2 non 45:22,23 normal 53:17 note 12:17 notice 18:8 23:10 25:21 61:6 notified 26:12 notify 61:10 number 8:3 19:21 23:15 24:8 26:4 27:15,18 28:1 30:13,14 35:13 36:21 37:22 40:23 41:5,8 48:16 ny 5:6 6:11 63:23	officers 37:21 53:5 offline 17:24 61:22 oh 27:6 okay 8:13 10:17 15:20 19:16 21:9 23:25 27:8 28:6 54:24 old 19:11 63:21 once 18:1 20:22 23:4 28:3 55:8 open 30:23 62:4 operated 32:16 operating 19:15 29:11 39:7 opinion 10:13 32:12 35:8 38:22 56:8 57:1 opinions 12:3 57:1,16 opponent 47:2 opportunity 11:16 45:16,17 46:1 opposed 29:19 30:1 42:9 56:3 opposing 9:22 opposition 33:16 33:23 34:19 35:23 38:11 39:18 41:6 58:7,21 59:23 options 45:15 oral 13:6 59:13 orbach 7:13,14,14 order 18:1,16,20 19:9 22:23 23:5,6 23:12 25:8 27:13 48:3 51:4 56:24 ordered 18:19 originally 56:4 orion 1:6,12 16:18 21:24	os 48:5 outcome 61:19 outlining 17:25 outside 18:2 outstanding 15:10 39:23 53:8,19 oversight 31:14 50:6 owe 53:17 owed 15:9 36:9 37:3,16 42:16 43:8,23 46:10,12 48:15 50:4,17 58:24 59:3,10,14 owing 56:5 owned 30:20 owns 32:22
	o		p
	o 3:21 7:1,12,12 63:1 o'clock 22:21,21 23:11 25:21 26:1 60:23 62:8,18 objection 48:13 objections 47:9 obtain 48:7 52:4 obtained 45:24 51:3 obviously 11:22 12:8 16:4,25 19:1 23:1 27:11 28:1 28:11 45:14,16,21 46:3,6,20 47:2,6 51:18 53:4 56:22 occupied 33:12 occupying 32:25 occur 30:13 50:7 51:2 occurred 33:24 34:21 41:2 51:4 offered 28:13 officer 38:8,14 53:1		p 5:1,1 7:1 8:7,10 8:12 p.c. 6:8 p.m. 62:11 pachulski 5:3 7:8 page 38:25 paid 13:4,4,20 14:6 36:9,16 37:9 37:14 39:21 43:11 44:3 53:2,4,5,18 papers 27:23 34:16 37:11 40:10 44:12 48:19 49:8 49:10 51:1 60:14 62:11 paperwork 27:19 paragraph 38:11 38:11 paris 6:14 8:4,7 8:10 parked 32:21 parlatore 5:11 7:19 parmar 12:18,25 13:17,19,21 14:5

35:25 36:8,22 37:3 38:12 46:5,9 46:20 47:3,11,17 48:4,15,21,24 49:11 50:10,15 54:15 58:6,8,10 59:2 parmar's 14:1 part 10:14 45:11 45:24 46:13,18,19 47:25 50:6 particular 41:20 43:25 52:22,23 53:10 56:17 60:21 parties 8:14 9:16 12:8 20:22 22:7 22:24 26:13 27:1 28:14 31:17 32:3 60:17 62:2,5,12 party 40:19 58:17 path 29:14 39:24 40:1 52:9 paths 38:17 pattern 44:7 57:19 pay 30:24 31:23 33:11,14 37:17 39:17 52:4 53:7 53:17,18,21,21,24 payees 45:20 paying 28:17 36:1 56:6 payment 37:9 38:7 payments 35:9 42:16,16,18 payors 45:20 pc 8:1 19:25 pending 52:15,21 52:21 performed 57:24 period 12:24 21:13 47:21 55:23	permitted 30:15 person 8:2 38:13 53:17 personal 12:19,23 13:19 29:22 30:17 33:2,4,5 perspective 29:7 38:17 46:4 petition 39:6 41:24 phone 8:2 11:4 phrased 51:19 pick 31:17,17 32:3 picture 24:18 26:16 28:11 34:17 43:5 pictures 56:6 piece 33:18 pittinsky 6:1,6 7:17,21,21,22 26:9,9,10,18,18 27:16,17,20 28:5 30:9 61:16 62:16 place 9:8 24:4 32:14 places 36:22 plain 52:16 plaintiff 1:13,21 2:4,12,20 4:1 8:19 9:10,11,12 10:12 12:21 13:18 17:9 17:25 18:6 19:5 19:23 23:17 25:1 25:2,24 26:6 29:15 31:4,5 34:21 35:14,20 40:13 52:16,17,22 plaintiff's 26:22 34:19 40:18 44:12 52:3,8 56:23 plaintiffs 10:1 11:22 16:3	plan 9:7 20:7,24 21:10 22:6,9 24:4 24:12 28:22 plans 21:15 plaza 3:2 pleadings 22:3 pleasant 30:25 please 7:4 8:17 9:1 20:4 27:5,5 62:21 plus 49:9 po 5:20 point 10:19 16:5 21:7 28:2 30:4,4 30:10 31:25 43:14 53:3 54:14 pose 42:14 posed 42:5 posing 47:4 position 29:5 48:8 59:21 61:9 possible 15:13 30:7 45:15 possibly 35:6 potentially 12:4,6 15:25 16:10 pre 9:25 25:23,23 61:3 predicant 42:2 prefer 45:3 preferential 37:20 prejudice 34:15 prepared 60:13 present 29:14 45:7 presented 35:3 56:9 president 37:12 presiding 7:3 presumably 46:5 49:14 58:3 presumed 35:16	presumptively 57:25 pretrial 18:5 20:6 21:23,23 22:20 23:3,10 pretty 25:6 prevail 31:5 prevails 28:22 previously 18:16 prior 20:6 21:4 27:23 35:5 probably 16:2 21:11,12 problem 7:17 procedure 62:4 procedures 19:15 31:19 proceed 15:21 26:22 32:6 proceeding 7:16 15:22 27:10 60:20 proceedings 15:24 62:22 63:4 proceeds 31:23 32:1 process 12:6 productive 21:19 21:25 professional 14:4 promissory 12:17 prong 48:17 proper 59:20,25 property 29:17,22 29:25 30:17 31:8 31:21 32:21 33:10 34:8,14 59:4 60:3 60:19 proposed 23:5,12 24:11 proposition 37:23 protocol 19:10 28:14 60:19 62:3
---	---	--	--

[prove - revisit]

Page 13

prove 43:11 provide 11:6,8,9 20:20 45:15 48:2 48:6 provider 54:12 providing 35:4 province 28:13 public 45:20,22 pun 50:12,13 purchase 36:9 40:16 42:22 46:13 49:1,13 50:18 55:16 purchasing 32:25 purpose 41:16 50:23 purposes 12:23 18:4 23:9 25:20 47:13 49:19 50:17 60:22 pushed 39:10 put 33:16 39:14 53:13,22,23 54:8 puts 48:7 putting 28:18 54:5	raised 40:11 raises 55:24 range 45:15 rationale 43:12 read 41:7 ready 16:12 17:10 real 29:17,25 32:21 34:7,8 53:5 55:16 realized 31:9 really 16:14 40:8 42:5 56:11,24 57:19 reason 14:5 25:11 25:25 27:15 41:4 41:14 42:5 60:8 61:9 reasonable 41:5 47:1 56:13 reasonably 14:18 14:19 57:16 reasons 52:12 rebuttal 44:23 recalibrate 17:18 recall 9:4,11 received 11:4 12:22 20:14,15 27:25 48:25 49:9 49:12 50:9 receiving 44:2 48:22 recess 62:17 recipient 38:7 recognizing 17:16 recommend 16:14 60:17 record 17:10 28:3 42:12 46:9 47:6 48:17,20 51:11 62:18 63:4 recorded 7:4 44:8 records 14:3 34:6 36:13,15,18,19,22	36:24 38:23,24 45:24 48:12 53:14 57:2 recoveries 40:13 recovery 40:10 42:8,19,20 redacted 45:20 reduces 55:18 redundant 62:2 references 9:25 reflect 18:18 reflecting 49:4 regard 52:18,23 52:23 regardless 36:6 37:9 regards 11:13 27:12 regular 31:7 regulations 55:15 relate 15:10 related 32:18 relevant 10:13 12:3 15:21 47:12 relied 46:24 55:7 rely 13:6 38:23 remedies 40:9 42:6 remembers 26:23 render 53:15 rendering 53:20 repay 37:14 repayment 38:6 repealed 52:13 replace 59:13 reply 16:6,6 17:12 37:11 40:23 41:9 58:9 report 10:20 11:22,24 24:9 35:11 reporting 49:19	represent 7:6,15 29:24 representation 26:24 representative 54:16 representing 7:9 request 11:10,15 requested 9:11,25 requesting 11:5 46:22 requests 15:14 require 48:2 required 27:22 requirement 37:19 requires 47:23 residential 29:12 32:19 resolution 16:11 resolve 27:11 resolved 17:20 respect 26:16 32:25 respectively 17:6 respond 11:6 15:7 18:1 response 15:7 16:4 17:11 47:13 47:15,18,25 rest 28:19 restraint 30:19 restricted 41:8 result 18:23 retain 11:20,23 retained 35:7 39:5 return 47:1 55:13 56:14 returns 49:3 51:2 55:5,11 56:1,3,4 review 11:23 22:9 revisit 16:13 30:17
q			
quantifying 43:4 quarter 33:11 question 14:8,21 19:5 40:8,9 42:3,5 42:6,14 45:5 46:8 47:16 49:3 52:1,2 53:5 55:5 56:7,11 questions 44:22 45:1,5 56:16,17 56:19,20,22,23			
r			
r 3:21 5:1 7:1,12 8:7,10,12 63:1 racking 39:13 raise 24:23			

[rifle - six]

Page 14

rifle 16:16	s	11:11 12:18,24	settle 19:1
right 8:24 9:2	s 3:22 5:1 7:1,3,12	13:6,20,23 25:3	settled 38:4
10:9,24,24 11:18	8:8,10,12	25:16	settlement 26:17
12:1 15:4 16:24	sale 26:16,19,22	se 14:1 40:5 52:5	28:12
18:15,24 19:8,17	27:1,4,11 28:18	second 22:12	share 29:18
19:19 20:2 21:1,3	30:18 31:7,20	48:17 60:25 61:2	shareholder 38:9
21:17 22:12,16	59:23 60:16,18	section 31:19	38:14
23:25 24:11 25:4	62:3	sector 32:17	shareholders
25:19,19 26:12	sale's 26:20	secured 43:23	37:21
30:8 31:1,16	sanford 6:13 7:24	44:2,4	sheriff's 59:23
40:12,13 43:22	7:25 19:24	see 22:11 23:23	shipping 38:2
44:11,14,21 51:10	sartison 2:14	24:12 28:25 30:12	shoes 41:13,22
54:4 57:10 58:4	satisfaction 51:15	46:8,16 49:22,25	42:1
60:3,10,10 61:5	satisfied 15:23	seek 30:19	short 11:15,17
61:15,23 62:1,6	30:18 34:8 42:18	seeking 27:22	shot 16:16
62:10	46:12 50:23 51:7	seeks 42:20	show 12:17 27:13
rights 30:12 48:9	58:13 59:20	seen 11:21 15:12	56:5
ripe 60:1	satisfy 31:10	21:16	showing 13:10
river 5:12 7:20,22	40:14 42:9 43:1	segue 40:7	50:3,8 56:3
26:8 46:13 48:24	50:19 52:20	self 35:21 36:2	shown 35:23
49:3 54:17	saves 60:2	38:12 58:8	shows 35:20
riverhouse 6:2	saying 9:20 18:12	sell 28:23	shut 19:7
26:10,11	18:25 35:25 46:9	selling 28:17	side 34:23,25 35:1
rivers 49:9 54:16	55:22 59:3	sense 10:20 21:22	35:12
road 5:20 60:8	says 12:18 13:6	22:1 25:4 29:23	sides 35:22 40:9
63:21	14:5 32:23 34:16	58:14	57:3,3 60:11
rosen 6:8,13 7:24	57:24 58:16 59:9	sent 11:6	sign 13:24 23:20
7:25,25,25 8:5	scenario 45:16	separate 33:13	significant 44:2
19:24,25,25 21:1	46:21 52:14,21,23	39:18 58:16	56:16
21:2 22:13,15	54:18 56:12,15,16	series 47:9	signs 57:24 58:3
23:14	56:24 57:4	serve 27:23	similar 20:25
rosenberg 6:1	scenarios 22:4	served 27:16	21:15 25:15 34:11
7:21 26:9	53:17	services 13:25	34:14 54:18
ruderman 37:13	scharf 5:9 7:8	set 16:9,17 21:13	simple 44:7
rule 21:12 38:5,5	schedule 16:9	22:17 31:6 35:17	simply 12:5 13:5
45:14 46:24 47:14	17:2	36:19 40:10,14,23	21:22 22:8 28:19
47:22 60:13 61:9	scheduled 26:20	41:3,6,9,11 42:15	33:22,24 35:25
rules 27:22,24	scheduling 10:10	43:12,20,24 44:16	51:2,5 58:9
ruling 60:16,24	18:16,20 20:9	55:25	single 15:2
61:8,10,18	22:23 23:5,12	sets 33:21 38:3,17	situation 43:13
	25:8	38:25	48:6 56:13
	schoor 1:15 5:19	setting 16:10 19:9	six 24:22
	7:12 8:15,16,23	21:23 39:12 42:25	

[sold - thank]

Page 15

sold 30:10,23 31:22 sole 54:16 solely 10:2 11:8 32:23 33:2,3 38:13 51:22 52:22 solutions 63:20 solvency 10:13 11:20 12:3,10,12 12:15 35:3,8,11 36:7 38:20,22 55:6 56:7,8,11,11 56:17 57:1 solvent 39:17 somewhat 55:13 sonya 4:25 63:3,8 soon 61:25 sooner 25:25 26:2 sorry 24:18 62:20 sort 45:2 47:25 53:16 55:4,16 sorts 29:1 sought 51:17 sounded 37:1 sounds 15:17 22:12 34:24 southern 28:15 39:22 sparingly 59:25 speak 7:4 9:24 26:25 28:2 speaking 26:18 50:7 61:16,22 specific 52:11,14 52:20 specifically 31:19 40:24 48:12 53:8 56:12 specificity 20:21 speculating 15:15 spend 16:11,15 split 55:22	stage 59:20 stand 37:23 standing 40:25 standpoint 54:8 stang 5:3 7:8 start 45:4 started 50:7 starting 7:5 8:15 state 27:5,7,10,14 29:2 42:1 49:18 61:22 statement 9:15,17 32:8 33:13 37:8 39:19 statements 45:19 48:1 states 1:1 3:1 stating 29:16 status 20:4 26:15 42:4 60:12 statute 41:7 52:10 52:12,17 53:3 stay 27:22 step 29:4 41:13,25 stepping 42:25 steps 41:21 stigmatize 41:16 stigmatizes 43:7 stipulate 22:8,25 stipulated 12:7,16 16:2 stipulation 16:8 stock 58:17 stop 27:11 strategy 47:5 strike 14:11 subject 31:14 submission 17:1 23:11 59:12 submit 9:15 10:12 17:25 20:23 21:10 22:9 35:11 41:4 45:21 47:17,20	59:5 submits 60:5 submitted 9:13,17 9:24 16:7 17:7 18:2,17 20:5 32:8 34:21 35:11 37:15 39:15 45:19,19 47:10 57:23 58:15 59:3,6 62:12 submitting 38:21 subpoena 11:3 subpoenas 24:8 24:22 subsequent 35:6 subsequently 20:16 substance 47:5 substantial 46:3 sufficient 15:18 57:7 suite 5:13 6:3 63:22 summary 4:1 9:12 10:2,14,18,22 12:6 14:9,16,22 14:23 15:7,8 16:4 17:2,10,16,20 18:7,18,22 25:24 26:14 27:12 29:14 32:6,11 40:2 45:9 45:9 46:8 47:6,9 51:16,17 56:12 57:8 59:24 60:21 supplemental 22:18 support 34:1 48:17 51:4 sure 14:14 17:6 17:14 18:7 31:18 surrounding 12:21	t t 63:1,1 take 8:17 16:16 18:11 19:1 33:18 36:23 54:25 58:17 58:17 61:20 taken 32:14 talk 15:22 41:15 48:12 talked 21:5 42:25 talking 21:6,20 53:10 talks 58:2 tangible 57:25 58:3 tax 38:23 48:22 49:3,14,17,19 50:2 51:1 55:5,11 55:13,15,18,23 56:1,3,4 taxes 33:14 55:21 56:5,6 technically 30:11 telephonically 5:8 5:9,16,23 6:6,13 6:14 ten 62:7 tentative 60:23 term 28:22 terms 11:18 21:3 23:2 25:5 28:11 30:21 48:8,14 terrace 5:12 7:20 26:8 46:14 54:16 54:17 testimony 12:22 13:6 59:13 texas 39:22 textbook 32:12 34:12 51:20 thank 8:13 10:24 12:1 14:25 18:14 19:16,17,19 23:13
---	--	---	---

[thank - unreasonable]

Page 16

23:14 26:3 28:6,8 32:7 57:10 60:9 60:10 61:13 62:14 62:16 them's 41:10 theoretically 50:21 theories 10:23 40:13 theory 25:17 35:17 41:13 thing 33:7 things 27:11 think 10:18 12:16 13:2,9 15:1,1,14 20:22 21:18 22:2 22:8 23:4 24:15 25:13 29:15 31:2 31:4 33:7 34:23 40:9 43:5,6 44:6 54:1,4,7 55:20 56:7,10,15,15,24 57:4,6 59:15 third 5:5 6:10 39:24 40:1 58:17 thought 38:21 50:14 three 41:9 55:20 55:23 60:23 throwing 39:1 tilt 25:24 tilting 17:17 time 9:21 11:5,9 11:24 12:5,9 15:11 17:8,9 18:10 19:13 21:19 24:16 28:18 36:9 39:5 40:3,15 41:12 42:8,16,22 45:11 47:8,14,20 47:20,21 48:3 50:16 51:13 53:15 57:14 60:2 61:1,9	timeline 16:13,15 timing 60:22 title 29:9 32:20 today 7:16 15:25 27:12 32:2 39:23 60:13 today's 18:4 22:20 23:9 25:20 told 13:7 30:22 toss 9:23 touch 40:9 45:12 55:3 track 18:22 20:24 tracking 23:3 tracks 16:9 trade 5:13 traded 54:6 trajectory 25:2 transaction 30:24 33:24 35:15,21,21 35:24 36:3,4,6 37:24 38:13 58:8 transactions 57:24 transcribed 4:25 transcript 63:4 transfer 10:3,6,7 12:15 32:14 34:7 34:8,25 35:1 36:11 37:5 38:19 40:3,5 41:2,12 42:7,8,10 43:1,7 43:12,15,16,19,24 44:9,13,17 46:11 50:2,18,23 51:21 52:5 53:2,7 57:22 59:5 transferee 36:1 38:15 41:14 transferor 12:11 13:17 35:25 38:9 38:15	transferred 42:19 43:9 58:13 transfers 10:14 11:10,25 12:4,12 20:13,15,17,20 35:9 37:20 41:16 46:10 58:2 treat 15:6 trial 12:10 15:23 16:10 17:17 18:20 18:22 22:22 23:4 23:6 24:14 25:8 25:10 47:8 trials 19:6 61:3 tried 12:9 tries 35:24 trigger 28:18 true 34:21 63:4 trust 3:22 7:3 29:10 trustee 1:11 5:4 7:9 8:20 14:22 20:15 23:18 29:9 29:10 31:6 39:12 40:19 41:11,12,21 42:4,20,25 43:11 44:4 47:9 48:13 48:18 51:18 55:6 60:5 trustee's 11:24 14:8 28:22 29:7 32:10,11 42:8 54:7 trustees 41:24 try 12:5 19:14 29:4 33:24 42:14 trying 12:12 21:18 24:24 42:23 turn 32:5 turner 27:21 twisted 44:7 two 11:7 21:11 30:14 38:17 41:8	42:21,24 47:16,25 53:12,12,22,23 55:20,22 56:1,6 61:2,20 tying 13:3 type 37:23 39:16 typically 57:13
			u
			u.s. 3:23 ultimate 16:8 ultimately 31:12 unable 29:13 53:7 53:21 unavailable 47:19 uncontroverted 9:16,17 32:9 underlying 20:17 32:13 understand 14:10 14:16 37:1 46:18 47:24 50:25 understanding 44:12 51:5 understood 17:21 52:6 undisputed 13:4 13:18 35:23 57:21 unearth 29:13 unfortunately 54:20 59:21 unique 29:18 unit 26:16 28:16 28:17,23 29:5,13 30:10,23 33:1,2,3 33:12 46:14 62:4 united 1:1 3:1 49:11 54:18 units 29:11,12 unknown 3:25 unpaid 40:22 unreasonable 53:4

[unredacted - à]

Page 17

unredacted 45:21	17:6,18 18:7	year 33:11,25
unsatisfied 40:4	26:19 28:12 32:5	39:1,13 55:18,23
unsecured 34:15	44:21,23 45:1,2	years 12:24 55:20
43:22 44:4	51:25 54:22 55:3	55:24
update 60:12	57:11 60:13	yesterday 9:8
upkeep 33:11	wanted 9:20 33:9	11:5 21:5 27:14
uploaded 20:7	45:7	york 1:2 3:3 5:6
use 13:8 26:1,13	way 29:18 36:12	5:14,14 6:4,4,11
28:15 33:4,9 42:1	44:15 50:8,8	9:6,25 10:5 13:9
60:22 61:2	we've 21:12,12	13:15 15:3 19:6
utilized 31:10	57:23 59:6 60:2	28:16 36:2 37:22
v	webex 19:9,10,12	38:4,4 39:25
v 1:14,22 2:5,13	19:14	41:10,19 42:24
2:21 8:15 37:11	week 10:11,11,12	57:23 59:7
38:2	11:7 16:10 17:8	yvette 7:2
vacuum 30:13	21:11 60:15	z
valid 13:11 37:15	went 13:21 20:10	ziehl 5:3 7:8
39:24 41:17	43:9	zoom 19:6
value 14:19 31:7	willing 48:6	à
34:12,14 57:16	win 14:17	à 26:21
variables 58:23	witness 16:12	
ventimiglia 59:7	witnesses 18:18	
verdict 47:2 56:14	wondering 28:24	
veritext 63:20	word 12:25 28:15	
versus 58:1 59:6	50:11	
view 22:14 30:10	work 16:1 17:5	
40:8	22:19 23:4 24:13	
violates 37:24	26:25	
44:17	worked 22:24	
virtue 30:18 41:24	working 16:25	
vis 26:21,21	20:23 22:18	
visit 25:17	world 5:13 55:16	
voice 62:20	wound 56:5	
voicemail 15:16	written 24:7	
voluminous 15:17	x	
w	x 1:4,8,10,17,19	
w 36:14	1:25 2:2,8,10,16	
w2s 49:14	2:18,24	
waiting 15:5	y	
30:12	y 8:7,12	
walia 2:6 6:9 8:1,5	yeah 16:23 20:5	
want 7:5 14:22	24:17 54:24 55:2	
15:7 16:13,15	61:24	